

Constantinescu, Liliana Aurora; Mihai, Carmina Elena

## Article

# Binary logistic regression analysis : the indicators underlying the granting of a high value personal loan

Academic journal of economic studies

## Provided in Cooperation with:

Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University, Bucharest

*Reference:* Constantinescu, Liliana Aurora/Mihai, Carmina Elena (2019). Binary logistic regression analysis : the indicators underlying the granting of a high value personal loan. In: Academic journal of economic studies 5 (2), S. 193 - 200.

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/3270>

## Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics  
Düsternbrooker Weg 120  
24105 Kiel (Germany)  
E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)  
<https://www.zbw.eu/>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.

<https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsfuse>

## Terms of use:

*This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.*

# Binary Logistic Regression Analysis: The Indicators Underlying the Granting of a High Value Personal Loan

Constantinescu Liliana Aurora<sup>1</sup>, Mihai Carmina Elena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University, Faculty of Finance, Banking and Accountancy, Bucharest, Romania, <sup>1</sup>E-mail: [lilianauroacon@yahoo.com](mailto:lilianauroacon@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup>PhD. Student, Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, <sup>2</sup>E-mail: [carminamihai@yahoo.com](mailto:carminamihai@yahoo.com)

---

## Abstract

All clients requesting loan for personal needs in credit institutions are included in certain groups with different default risk. In this respect, banks use a number of quantitative and qualitative indicators to categorize credit applicants in risk categories based on prudence, credibility and solvency. However, many institutions do not have a clear picture of the consumer's importance to these indicators, especially in terms of access to high value credits. Therefore, we present the results of a study aimed at identifying consumer opinions on the influence of the indicators underlying the granting of a high value personal credit, which was performed (n = 102) among the population in Brasov, over 18, in June 2019. The study results indicate the type of income, the amount of the customer's and beneficiary's income, the location, the length of residence, the length of service, the age and the field in which they operate are factors taken into account by banks and non-personal needs of high value.

## Key words

Bank customers, loan, institution; customer income type; Amount of customer and co-payee revenue; customer's location; residence duration at the same address of the customer; customer seniority; information included in the Credit Bureau (BC) databases

**JEL Codes:** G21, M31

© 2019 Published by Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University/Universitara Publishing House.

(This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Received: 10 May 2018

Revised: 30 May 2019

Accepted: 30 June 2019

---

## 1. Introduction

Personal need loan is any consumer credit contracted by an individual to meet his/her personal needs. In this regard, we can say that the purpose of the personal needs loan may fall into one or more of the following situations: the non-nominative personal needs of the applicant or, depending on the case, of the applicant and its co-payers; development of agricultural production in their own households; the marketing of products obtained from agricultural production in their own households; for special events (holiday, traveling, marriage, baptism, etc.); replacement operations of existing exposures (refinancing) related to current consumer credit (s).

Personal needs loans can be granted to applicants for a maximum of 5 years. In lending activity to individuals, credit institutions will pursue the classification of credit applicants into risk categories of default. The use of quantitative and qualitative indicators to categorize and categorize credit applicants in the default risk categories is based on prudence as a fundamental principle characterizing credit institutions as well as the following general principles:

a) Credibility represents the essential moral support, and presupposes knowledge of the credit applicant, through a thorough information and documentation activity, for forming beliefs about:

- the commitments contracted by other credit institutions as appropriate;
- his moral and professional qualities;
- reputation at the workplace and in the applicant's society;
- the material situation of the applicant and his/her family.

b) Solvency represents the ability of the applicant to repay the loan granted and to pay the related interest on the maturity date, according to the obligations assumed by the contract concluded with the credit institution. Credit granting activity is based on identifying and assessing as accurately as possible the applicants' ability to pay as the main source of credit payment. Classification and classification of credit applicants in the default risk categories will be made taking into account some features such as: the nature of the client's income; the amount of the customer/family income; customer's location; residence time at the same address of the customer; the seniority of the client's work; the client's marital status; customer

age; the field in which the client operates; professional training of the client; information recorded in Credit RIU's databases (credit histories worth more than ROL 20,000) and the Credit Bureau.

Following the assessment of the applicant's default risk and score, they are classified as risk classes as follows: class 1: low risk, class 2: medium risk, class 3: high risk. The score obtained by customers in the "low" or "medium" risk class is a necessary first element in the decision to approve the credit, but this is not enough. The target client of any credit institution is represented by natural persons in Class 1 default risk. During the course of the credit, the credit institutions shall review the classification in the customer default risk class if, during verifications, it is found that there are elements that cause a change in the valuation indicators (example: job loss, debtor's death).

## 2. Literature review

The study of the banking activity and the operations of the credit institutions is an interesting field of research, which is highlighted in the literature by the existence of numerous descriptive (quantitative and qualitative) studies about the credit institutions and the complex activity carried out by them. Constantinescu (2018) points out that the particularities of the credit institution have a significant impact on lending.

Wang (2002) has developed a heteroscedastic SFA model to identify and analyze the determinants of credit institutions' efficiency in the Central European countries, with the consequence that the notion of banking efficiency has become essential through the stability of banking companies. In the models used by Wagner (2015) and Turkes (2019), it is clear that the stability of the banking system, the structural structures and the efficiency of credit institutions and the development of capital markets form a close link. The analysis of the banking system in Romania, its performance and efficiency is of great importance from a microeconomic and macroeconomic point of view (Turkes and Capusneanu, 2018).

## 3. Methodology of research

The hypothesis of research involves measuring the influence of quantitative and qualitative indicators on customer access to a high value personal credit. In this respect, it was envisaged to model a relation between a set of independent variables ( $x_i$ , categorical, continuous) and a dichotomic dependent variable ( $Y$ , nominal, binary). The purpose of quantitative research is to know the consumer's opinions on the influence of the indicators that underlie the granting of a high-value personal credit. The main objectives of the research were: (1) the importance of variables (quantitative and qualitative indicators) in class differentiation, Classifying an observation in a classroom. In the bivariate logistic analysis the following categories of variables were used:

- An additive variable - measured with a dichotomous scale determined by access or not to a high value personal needs loan;
- Several independent variables - nominally measured, of which:
  - Institution;
  - Customer income type;
  - Amount of customer and co-payee revenue;
  - Customer's location;
  - Residence duration at the same address of the customer;
  - Customer seniority;
  - Marital status of client;
  - Customer Age;
  - The domain in which the client operates;
  - Professional training of the client;
  - Information included in the Credit Bureau (BC) databases.

Therefore, a quantitative marketing research was carried out among the population of Brasov, over the age of 18. The present research is extremely important, providing banking and non-banking institutions in Romania with a general picture of consumer opinions on the importance of indicators for which a high value personal credit is granted.

The sampling method used in this quantitative research was the telephone survey. In June 2019, the information gathering stage was conducted from the research population. The questionnaire used for data collection included a total of 11 closed queries of the nominal scale type with two or more variants. Different female and male respondents aged over 18

responded to the questions included in the questionnaire. Following the collection process, 102 responses resulted. The collected information was analyzed with the SPSS package using the binary logistic model.

#### 4. Results and discussions

In order to measure the influence of quantitative and qualitative indicators on customer access to a high value personal credit, binary logistic regression was used to model a relationship between several variables. The independent variables were: xi - Institution; Customer income type; Amount of customer and co-payee revenue; Customer's location; Residence duration at the same address of the customer; Customer seniority; Marital status of client; Customer Age; The domain in which the client operates; Professional training of the client; Information included in the Credit Bureau (BC) databases and the dichotomous dependent variable -Y, access or not to a high value personal needs loan. Table 1 shows 102 valid and zero answers.

Table 1. Case Processing Summary

Unweight Cases <sup>a</sup>		N
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	102
	Missing Cases	0
	Total	102
Unselected Cases		0
Total		102

**Source:** Authors' computations

Tables 2 and 3 show the encodings of the category variables (including the one dependent). For the 10 of the 11 independent categorical variables there was a recodification considering the reference category, which was recodified with 0.

Table 2. Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
No	0
Yes	1

**Source:** Authors' computations

We note that the constant pattern does a good thing in predicting nodal involvement for not being 100% correct involved, but the model does a great job in predicting nodal involvement for those involved 0% correct. The overall percentage forecast percentage is 50.0%.

Table 3. Classification Table<sup>a,b</sup>

Observed			Predicted	
			Do you want to get a personal loan of higher value?	
			No	Yes
Step 0	Do you want to get a personal loan of higher value?	No	0	51
		Yes	0	51
	Overall Percentage			

**Source:** Authors' computations

Table 4 shows all prediction variables that have not yet entered the model. This is the last exit of block 0.

Table 4. Variables not in the Equation

Step 0	Variables	Score	df
	INSTITUTIONS	.982	1
	CUSTINCOME	2.540	5
	CUSTINCOME(1)	.729	1
	CUSTINCOME(2)	.578	1
	CUSTINCOME(3)	.122	1
	CUSTINCOME(4)	.210	1
	CUSTINCOME(5)	.210	1
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER	2.432	4
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(1)	.917	1
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(2)	.201	1

	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(3)	.343	1
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(4)	2.040	1
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION	2.234	4
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(1)	1.639	1
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(2)	.543	1
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(3)	1.041	1
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(4)	.153	1
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER	7.130	4
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER(1)	4.812	1
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER(2)	.000	1
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER(3)	.078	1
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER(4)	.122	1
	CUSTOMERSENIORITY	5.611	4
	CUSTOMERSENIORITY(1)	.403	1
	CUSTOMERSENIORITY(2)	.703	1
	CUSTOMERSENIORITY(3)	.000	1
	CUSTOMERSENIORITY(4)	5.299	1
	MARITALSTATUS	2.909	4
	MARITALSTATUS(1)	.039	1
	MARITALSTATUS(2)	.172	1
	MARITALSTATUS(3)	.122	1
	MARITALSTATUS(4)	2.040	1
	CUSTOMERAGE	2.502	4
	CUSTOMERAGE(1)	1.259	1
	CUSTOMERAGE(2)	1.639	1
	CUSTOMERAGE(3)	.176	1
	CUSTOMERAGE(4)	.025	1
	DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES	7.342	4
	DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(1)	.788	1
	DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(2)	2.086	1
	DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(3)	1.079	1
	DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(4)	3.169	1
	PROFESSIONALTRAINING	5.224	4
	PROFESSIONALTRAINING(1)	1.765	1
	PROFESSIONALTRAINING(2)	1.774	1
	PROFESSIONALTRAINING(3)	1.259	1
	PROFESSIONALTRAINING(4)	.050	1
	BC	1.639	4
	BC(1)	1.439	1
	BC(2)	.927	1
	BC(3)	.102	1
	BC(4)	.210	1
	Overall Statistics	37.020	42

**Source:** Authors' computations

For Block1, the first relevant output is provided by the Omnibus Test for the model coefficient table. This table compares the model constantly with the model with all predictors. The model with all predictors is significantly better than the only constant model [Chi-Square = 44.933, df = 42 and p = 0.001 (0.007 < 0.05)].

Table 5. Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	46.933	42	.007
	Block	46.933	42	.007
	Model	46.933	42	.007

**Source:** Authors' computations

The next relevant output is the Model Summary table. This provides information about the goodness of fit of the model. Two measures are given Cox & Snell R Square and Nagelkerke R Square. Nagelkerke R Square is commonly used as the

former does not scale up to 1. From Nagelkerke R Square it is clear that 49.2% of the variation in the granting of a high-value personal credit is represented by predictors such as: institutions, customer income, customer age and customer status, in which the client operates.

Table 6. Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	94.469 <sup>a</sup>	.369	.492

Source: Authors' computations

Table 8 informs whether the model matches the data. We can say that the pattern matches the data for Chi-Square = 6.625, df = 8 and p = 0.578 (> 0.05).

Table 8. Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	6.625	8	.578

Source: Authors' computations

The next relevant output is the classification table. It compares model predictions with real observations. The model makes reasonable work by specifying that customers who do not want a high-value credit are equal to those who want; ie 78.4%. According to the model, 78.4% of the 102 clients were classified correctly.

Table 9. Classification Table<sup>a</sup>

Observed			Predicted	
			Do you want to get a personal loan of higher value?	
			No	Yes
Step 1	Do you want to get a personal loan of higher value?	No	40	11
		Yes	11	40
	Overall Percentage			

Source: Authors' computations

The indicators shown in Table 10 - Institution [0.025], Customer Income Type [CUSTINCOME] (0.047), AMOUNTCUSTOMER (0.017), Customer's location (CUSTOMERSLOCATION), "Customer seniority" (CUSTOMER SENIORITY) (0.18), "Customer Age" (CUSTOMERAGE) (0.021), and "The domain in which the client operates" [DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES] (0.029) are significant predictors at the 5% level. "Marital status of client" [MARITALSTATUS] (0.429); "Professional training of the client" [PROFESSIONALTRAINING] (0.578); "Information included in Credit Bureau (BC) databases" [BC] (0.397) have p values greater than 0.05 and are not significant predictors of nodal involvement at the 5% level.

Table 10. Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)
								Lower
Step 1 <sup>a</sup>	INSTITUTIONS	1.141	.648	3.096	1	.038	3.128	.008
	CUSTINCOME			1.795	5	.047		
	CUSTINCOME(1)	-1.154	1.709	.456	1	.049	1.316	.011
	CUSTINCOME(2)	-1.772	2.240	.626	1	.029	1.170	.002
	CUSTINCOME(3)	-.838	1.805	.216	1	.042	1.432	.013
	CUSTINCOME(4)	-.580	2.074	.078	1	.028	1.560	.010
	CUSTINCOME(5)	-2.373	2.076	1.307	1	.035	2.093	.002
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER			.562	4	.017		
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(1)	-.401	2.972	.018	1	.023	.670	.002
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(2)	.831	3.269	.065	1	.019	2.295	.004
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(3)	2.218	1.971	.000	1	.019	6.036	.001
	AMOUNTCUSTOMER(4)	2.937	2.834	.000	1	.022	1.238	.003
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION			3.343	4	.025		
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(1)	-.486	1.038	.219	1	.046	.615	.081
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(2)	.955	1.810	.278	1	.098	2.597	.075
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(3)	2.436	1.946	1.567	1	.011	11.431	.252
	CUSTOMERSLOCATION(4)	.268	1.398	.037	1	.048	1.307	.084
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER			4.317	4	.036		
	ADDRESSCUSTOMER(1)	-2.219	1.170	3.601	1	.058	.109	.011

ADDRESSCUSTOMER(2)	-1.301	1.293	1.012	1	.014	.272	.022
ADDRESSCUSTOMER(3)	-.181	1.294	.020	1	.189	.834	.066
ADDRESSCUSTOMER(4)	-1.251	1.536	.663	1	.215	.286	.014
CUSTOMERSENIORITY			5.781	4	.018		
CUSTOMERSENIORITY(1)	1.147	1.149	.997	1	.018	3.148	.331
CUSTOMERSENIORITY(2)	.538	1.068	.254	1	.115	1.712	.211
CUSTOMERSENIORITY(3)	-.277	1.035	.072	1	.289	.758	.100
CUSTOMERSENIORITY(4)	-2.322	1.496	2.408	1	.121	.098	.005
MARITALSTATUS			3.174	4	.429		
MARITALSTATUS(1)	-2.563	1.742	2.166	1	.241	.077	.003
MARITALSTATUS(2)	-3.139	1.825	2.959	1	.385	.043	.001
MARITALSTATUS(3)	-1.997	1.983	1.014	1	.414	.136	.003
MARITALSTATUS(4)	-1.945	1.932	1.311	1	.135	.157	.007
CUSTOMERAGE			.927	4	.021		
CUSTOMERAGE(1)	-.630	2.479	.065	1	.008	.533	.004
CUSTOMERAGE(2)	.364	2.493	.021	1	.084	1.440	.011
CUSTOMERAGE(3)	-.270	2.385	.013	1	.110	.763	.007
CUSTOMERAGE(4)	.178	2.761	.004	1	.249	1.194	.005
DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES			1.692	4	.029		
DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(1)	.898	1.201	.559	1	.045	2.454	.023
DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(2)	.903	1.295	.486	1	.048	2.467	.195
DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(3)	.463	1.312	.125	1	.124	1.589	.100
DOMAINCLIENTOPERATES(4)	1.456	1.184	1.514	1	.219	4.290	.422
PROFESSIONALTRAINING			2.881	4	.578		
PROFESSIONALTRAINING(1)	-.031	1.551	.000	1	.984	.970	.046
PROFESSIONALTRAINING(2)	-1.012	1.318	.589	1	.443	.364	.027
PROFESSIONALTRAINING(3)	.732	1.192	.377	1	.539	2.079	.201
PROFESSIONALTRAINING(4)	-.565	1.065	.281	1	.596	.569	.071
BC			4.066	4	.397		
BC(1)	-.338	1.229	.075	1	.784	.713	.064
BC(2)	-1.409	1.413	.994	1	.319	.244	.015
BC(3)	.755	1.773	.181	1	.670	2.127	.066
BC(4)	-2.349	2.124	1.223	1	.269	.095	.001
Constant	4.746	4.909	.935	1	.334	115.145	

Figure 1. Classification diagram

**Source:** Authors' computations

As can be seen in Figure 1, the classification diagram consists of the predicted probability (from 0 to 1) shown on the X axis. Under this axis the differentiated classification areas are identified by the symbols that encode the group 1 (Yes) and the group 2 (No) and the threshold of 0.5 that changes the classification. The Y axis indicates the number of cases and the columns are made up of the marks that represent the observed case classification.

The data in the diagram indicates, for the most part, predictions correctly predicted by the model that the Y-signs correspond to Y-values on the OX axis and the N-signs corresponding to N-values on the OX axis) represent the correctly predicted classifications of the model. For values of -2 and -4 on the OX axis, the Y-signs corresponding to N-values and the N-signs corresponding to Y-values represent incorrectly classified cases, so the estimated model does not work.

## 5. Conclusions

The purpose of quantitative research has been reached, in the opinion of most of the variable clients, such as: institutions, customer income, client and seniority, client age and customer status, in which the client operates are significant predictors that underlie a high-value personal credit. For banking institutions, knowledge of consumer opinion and behavior is a real asset in designing and selling personal needs loans, but also for emphasizing the importance of other predictors such as: the marital status of the client, the professional training of the client, and the information included in the Credit Bureau BC) databases. The limiting conditions of the study are given by the reduced sample size and analysis

## References

- Badea, L., Socol, A., Drăgoi, V. & Drigă, I. (2010). Banking risk management, Bucharest, Economică Publishing House, 24.
- Bernard, Y., & Colli, J. C., (2000.) Economical and financial vocabulary, Humanitas Publishing House, Bucharest.
- Constantinescu, A. (2014). History, Performance and Risk Management in Credit Institutions - Application to Cooperative Bank Unirea Braşov, PhD Thesis abstract, Sibiu.
- Constantinescu, L.A. (2008). Efficient management of cooperative banks in a modern, european Romania, Brasov, Lux Libris Publishing House.
- Constantinescu, L.A. (2009). Credit institutions and the transactions carried out in Romania. Braşov, Lux Libris Publishing House.
- Constantinescu, L.A. (2010). Financial Banking Management, Brasov, Lux Libris Publishing House.
- Constantinescu, L.A. & Stăfănescu, C. (2010). Risk Management in Credit Institutions - New Trends Review of General Management 12(2), 51-59.
- Constantinescu, L.A. (2011). Risk management strategies and solutions for 21 century Europe, Volume 14, Issue 2, Review of General Management 14(2), 151-158.
- R Chira R., MC Türkeş and others (2016). International Logistics management, Author House, 47-55, 66-71.
- Oncioiu, I., Căpuşneanu, S., Topor, D.I., Turkes, M.C. and other (2018). The Sustainability of Romanian SME's and their Involvement in Circular Economy, Sustainability, 10(8), 2761, 19 pages.
- Oncioiu, I., Căpuşneanu, S., Topor, D.I., Türkeş, M.C., Rakoş, I.S. (2019). Throughput Accounting in a Hyperconnected World, Chapter 2, Throughput Accounting: Decisional Informational Support for Optimizing Entity's Profit (27-56), IGI-Global 701 E. Chocolate Ave. Hershey, PA 17033, USA, 362 pages.
- Türkeş, M.C., Oncioiu, I., Aslam, H.D., Marin-Pantelescu, A., Topor, D.I. and others (2019). Drivers and Barriers in Using Industry 4.0: A Perspective of SMEs in Romania, Processes 7 (3), 15.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2013). Marketing of financial-banking services, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 151.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2017). The bases of marketing, Ediție revăzută si adăugită, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 257
- Türkeş, MC (2014). The bases of marketing, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 231.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2016). Another way to learn marketing, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 103.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2017). The Echo Boomers Notebook - Financial Banking Marketing, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 167.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2018). The Millennials Notebook - Marketing, ProUniversitaria Publishing House, Bucharest, Romania, 123.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2019). The Evolution of fertility over the life course. A comparativ study between Romania and Turkey, Academic Journal of Economic Studies, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.1, Issue nr. 2, 151-159.
- Türkeş, M.C., Constantinescu, L., Topor, D., (2018). Comparative analysis of banking performance of commercial banks groups, Case-study: Turkey vs. Romania, Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv. Economics, 3(198): 87-94.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2017). Cluster Analysis of Total Assets Provided By Banks from Four Continents, Academic Journal of Economic Studies, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.3, Issue nr. 4, 24-28.
- Türkeş, M.C., Olariu, A., Matache, M. (2017). Patient Satisfaction Perceived in the Emergency Department: A Quantitative Study in a State Hospital in Romania, Academic Journal of Economic Studies, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.3, Issue nr. 3, 112-117.
- Türkeş, M.C. Olariu, A., Matache, M., (2017). Factors Affecting Internet Users: A Comparative Investigation between Romania and Turkey, Academic Journal of Economic Studies, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.3, Issue nr. 2, 110-115.



- Türkeş, M.C. (2016). Evolution of real earning to residents deposits according to maturity during the period 2011-2015, *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.2, Issue nr. 2, 32-42.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2016). Relationship marketing of stage development in turistic sector in România, *Supliment of QualityAccess to Success*, Volum 17, S3, 135-151.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2015). The ratio evolution analysis of the non-performing loans obtained by credit institutions in Romania during the period 2007-2015, *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.1, Issue nr. 2, 151-159.
- Türkeş, M.C., Raicu, I. (2015). Enhancing the performance of business process in Romanian SMEs through ERP solutions, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.VI. (2015), Issue Nr.4, 30-32.
- Türkeş, M.C., Raicu, I. (2015). Improving the performance of business process modeling for small-medium sized companies, Vol. VI., Issue Nr.4, 33-38.
- Türkeş, M.C., Raicu, I. (2015). Service oriented modeling and architecture for an e-financial assistant integration within the banking system, *Conference Bulletin, Academy of Economic Studies*, Bucharest, Vol. V, 250-260.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2015). Structure evaluation of credit to households from Romania during 2013-2014 using ANOVA: Two-Factor with Replication, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. VII. Nr.2, 176-181.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2015). The influence of strategic alternatives on the increasing level value of the term deposits, *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. 1, No.1, March, 82–90.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2015). Card payments in Romania – a slow transition from cash to card, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. 7, No.3, 228-238.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2015). The Ratio Evolution Analysis of the Non-Performing Loans Obtained by the Credit Institutions in Romania during the Period 2007-2015, *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. 1, No.2, Iunie, 151-159.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2014). New promotion price strategies on the banking services market in Romania, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol.VI (2014), Issue Nr. 1, 27 – 29.
- Türkeş, M.C. Raicu, I. (2014). Organizational performance improvement by implementing the latest CRM solutions, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. VI (2014), Issue Nr. 1, 84 – 86.
- Türkeş, M.C., Capusneanu, S. (2014). Strategic positioning of BCR on the Romanian banking market by using the banking rating and early warning system (CAAMPL), *International Journal of Academic Research*, July, Vol. 6 Issue 4, Special section, 232-241
- Türkeş, M.C. (2013). Current characteristics of the Romanian banking market, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Volum V (2013) – Nr. 4, 231 – 234.
- Türkeş, M.C., Stanca, A. (2013). Customer Loyalty and Retention – New Trend on the Traditional-Virtual Market, *Knowledge Horizons Economics*, Dimitrie Cantemir Christian University Bucharest, Vol. V (2013) – Nr. 4, 231 - 234.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2010). Concept and evolution of bank marketing, *Annals of the University of Oradea*, Vol. 2010, Nr. 2, December, 1178-1181
- Türkeş, M.C. (2010). The Globalization of the Banking and Financial Crisis on International Level, *Annals of the University of Petroșani, Economics – cod 23*, Vol. 2010, part 1, 349-362.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2010). The factors that influence the romanian banking market during post – accession period, *Annals of the University of Oradea*, Vol. 1, July.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2009). Evolution of the romanian banking system after the integration in the European Union, *Annals of the University of Oradea*, Vol. 2009, V3, December, 711 -716.
- Türkeş, M.C. (2009). The Globalization of the Banking on International Level, *Studies in Business and Economics*, Vol.2009, Section VI.1, 679-687.

#### Other web sources

- \*\*\* BCBS, Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2003
- \*\*\* Concise Oxford English Dictionarz, Fifth Edition, 1995
- \*\*\* National Bank of Romania Rules no.17/2003 for the organization and internal control of credit institutions and significant risk management and the organization and functioning of the internal audit of credit institutions, amended.
- \*\*\* National Bank of Romania Rules no.18/2009 for the organization and internal control of credit institutions and significant
- \*\*\* Law no 31/1990, republished with amendments and completions
- \*\*\* Law no. 227 of 4 July 2007 for approval, amending and supplementing Government Emergency Ordinance no. 99 of 6.
- \*\*\* Law no. 270 approving Government Emergency Ordinance no. 25/2009 for amending and supplementing Government.
- \*\*\* Legea nr. 441/2006 - Lege pentru modificarea și completarea Legii 31/1990 privind societățile comerciale și a Legii 26/1990 privind Registrul Comerțului, republicată 434 (Monitorul Oficial, partea I nr. 955/2006).
- \*\*\* Emergency Ordinance no. 25 of 18 March 2009 amending and supplementing.
- \*\*\* Government Emergency Ordinance no. 99/2006 on credit institutions and capital adequacy (Official Gazette, Part I no.179 of 23 March 2009)