# DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW - Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW - Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Onwuchekwa, J. C.; Madumere, I.

#### **Article**

Board characteristics and earnings management: evidence from quoted firms in Nigeria

Accounting and taxation review

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

Reference: Onwuchekwa, J. C./Madumere, I. (2019). Board characteristics and earnings management: evidence from quoted firms in Nigeria. In: Accounting and taxation review 3 (1), S. 118 - 129.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/4423

#### Kontakt/Contact

ZBW - Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: rights[at]zbw.eu https://www.zbw.eu/

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.

## Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.



https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsofuse



#### ISSN: 2635-2966 (Print), ISSN: 2635-2958 (Online).

©International Accounting and Taxation Research Group, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Available online at <a href="http://www.atreview.org">http://www.atreview.org</a>

### Original Research Article

# **Board Characteristics and Earnings Management: Evidence from Quoted Firms in Nigeria**

#### J. C. Onwuchekwa & Madumere

Department of Accounting, Federal UniversityOtuoke, Bayelsa State, Nigeria chikajohn29@yahoo.com,

\*For correspondence, email: chikajohn29@yahoo.com,

Received: 15/01/2019 Accepted: 25/02/2019

#### **Abstract**

The study investigated the association between board characteristics and earnings management practices. A longitudinal survey was used covering a time frame of six years (2007-2012). Historical data were extracted from the financial statements of eighty-eight sampled non-financial firms quoted on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. The statistical instrument used was the fixed effect Panel least Square regression. The study found that board independence and audit committee independence have negative relationship, while managerial shareholdings and board political connections have positive relationship with earnings management practices among Nigerian firms. Recommendations were made.

Keywords: Board, Earnings, Management

### JEL Classification Codes: G300, G390

This is an open access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

© 2019. The authors. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

**Citation:** Onwuchekwa, J.C &Madumere, I. (2019).Board characteristics and earnings management: Evidence from quoted firms in Nigeria. *Accounting and Taxation Review*, *3*(1): 118-129

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Earnings management is the process of taking deliberate steps within the bands of Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (GAAP) to bring about a desired level of reporting performance. Board of directors is charged with the responsibility accounting for the activities of firms and rendering proper stewardship on how the financial resources of the shareholders were managed accordingly. It is the directors' duty to ensure that the financial statement reported is a reflection of the firms' performance. In a bid to reduce tax, increase share values as a way to attract investors and by extension keep their jobs, some directors capitalize on the loopholes in the standards and tax laws to alter accounts. This represents purposeful intervention in the external financial reporting process, with the intent of obtaining some private gains (Schipper, 1989). Earnings management can have serious effects on the future prospects of companies as prior studies show evidence of positive and negative effect on both short and long-run performance of companies (Peasnell, Pope & Young, 2000, Dechow& Skinner 2000, Hartzell and Starks, 2003, Kao, Wu, & Yang, 2009, Gul, Kim, &Qiu, 2010).

Many firms' failures today were, attributed to the unawareness of the boards concerning the management's manipulations, as well as their inability to ensure the rights of the shareholders (Clarke, 2007). Corporate scandals, in developing economies like Nigeria, the banking sector among other sectors has witnessed several cases of collapses, some of which include; the Alpha Merchant Bank Ltd, Savannah Bank Plc, SocieteGenerale Bank Ltd, Afribank Plc, Union Bank plc, Bank PHB, Spring Bank Plc, Oceanic Bank Plc, Intercontinental Bank Plc, African Petroleum, Fin Bank Plc, Lever Brothers and Cadbury. This indicates classified beautification of

(Onwuchekwa, Era &Izedonmi, 2012). The collapse of such large corporations has exposed the intentional misconduct of managers and weakness of board structure which could not protect investors from expropriation and earnings management (Supawadee, Subba& Omar, 2013). Kato and Long (2006) states that CEOs often use their control of Boards not only to prevent and challenge their position, but also aggregate to themselves an increasing share of wealth generated by the company, both in terms of rapidly inflating salaries and massively growing stock options. This study therefore seeks to investigate relationship between board characteristics and earnings managements among Nigerian firms.

The broad objective of the study is to investigate the relationship between board characteristics and earnings management in Nigeria. However, the specific objectives are to: examine the effect of board of director size on earnings management; assess relationship between independence and earnings management; evaluate the relationship between the managerial shareholdings and earnings management; examine the influence of audit committee independence on earnings management; anddetermine the effect of board political connection on earnings management.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

#### **Concepts of Earnings Management**

Earnings management has been seen in different perspectives by authorities. Earnings management was a common practice among companies as it allowed managers to exercise their discretion through accounting practices or policies that were questionable in order to achieve desired earnings in spite of the presence of

other board members (Healy &Wahlen, 1999; Jiang and Wing, 2005). (2002) notes that earnings management can occur through different implementation practices, such as falsification, alteration or manipulation of financial documents and records. intentional omission misrepresentations of events or transactions or any other information relevant for the financial statement. deliberate misapplication of accounting principles, policies procedures, inadequate or disclosures concerning accounting principles of accounting records or amounts. Consequently, the manipulation can occur by an accounting record, without any effect on cash flows or on the real dimension of the firm, or by real, involving a change in the firm's level of investment or operating activities, both with an intention to impact the reported results (Lev, 2003). However, Supawadee, Subba and Omar (2013) consider earnings management to include improper revenue recognition: creation of fictitious revenue transactions such as; (a) improper cut-off sales; (b) premature revenue recognition; (c) unauthorized shipments sham sales; (d) overstatement of assets: (e) capitalization of expenses as assets, usage of higher market value to increase the value of the asset; Misappropriation assets; of and (g) Inappropriate disclosure. Meanwhile, irrespective of the form earnings management would take, it is deceptive.

# Relationship between the Board characteristics and Earnings Management

#### **Board Size and Earnings Management**

The optimal size of board members is ensured by an adequate number of board members to perform the monitoring functions effectively. According to Rahman and Ali (2006), board size is positively related with earnings management. In contrast, Xie, Davidson, and DaDalt (2003) argued that smaller boards are better able to make timely decisions than large boards.

However, they stated that larger boards with diverse knowledge are more effective for constraining earnings management than smaller boards. Xieet al. (2003) further stated that large boards with various experts are more likely to have a higher degree of independence than small boards. Similarly, Peasnell, Pope, and Young (2004) found that having a large board is better in reducing earnings management compared to smaller boards and that the higher the number of members on the board, the greater the monitoring activity management. If large boards enhance monitoring, they would be associated with less use of earnings management. In this same vein, Chtourou, Bédard and Courteau (2001), Ebrahim (2007), and Xie, et al., (2003) found that larger boards are associated with lower levels of discretionary accruals. Lin and Germain (2003) found that earnings management is negatively correlated with board size. However, Cai (2003) stated that there is a significant positive correlation between board size and management. Following outcome of the above findings, we therefore hypothesized that, H1:There significant relationship between board size and earnings management.

## **Board Independence and Earnings Management**

Issue of board independence has been investigated with great attention, considering the independent status of the directors as a good indicator of the Board's (Hermalin&Weishbach, effectiveness 2002). The components within the board are ingredients essential for effective monitoring. According to Peasnellet.al.. (2004), outside directors play a more effective role in monitoring top managers' aggressive behaviors than insiders. Their results show that earnings management is associated negatively with larger proportion of outside directors. Using data for varying sample size (ranging from 89 firms for regression to 205 firms for descriptive analysis) obtained from the

Nigerian Stock Exchange for the period 1996 to 2004, it was established (Ahmadu, Tukur and Aminu, 2008) that certain aspects of board independence could possibly have effect on firm performance.

However, Xieet.al., (2003) found that earnings management is less likely to occur in companies whose boards include both more independent outside directors and directors with corporate experience. But the level of earnings management influence the subsequent selection of board. Davidson et al (2005) found that, based on a broad cross-sectional sample of 434 listed Australian firms, a majority of nonexecutive directors on the board significantly associated with a lower likelihood of earnings management. Peasnellet al. (2005) examined whether the incidence of earnings management by UK firms depends on board monitoring. Results indicate that the likelihood of managers making income-increasing abnormal accruals is negatively related to the proportion of outsiders on the board. Hence, we hypothetically state that, H2: There is negative relationship between board independence and earnings management.

# **Managerial Shareholding and Earnings Management**

Several prior studies have examined the relationship between the board of directors and earnings management. The results of prior studies indicate that CEOs manage earnings to maximise their personal wealth (Cheng & Warfield, 2005). Ali, Salleh and Hassan (2008), Banderlipe (2009) and Persons. (2006) found that managerial shareholdings are associated with lower levels of earnings management. In fact, managers with high stock shareholdings could gain from earnings management with the purpose of keeping stock prices high and increasing the value of their shares (Yang et 2008). Meanwhile, Al-Fayoumi, Abuzayed and Alexander (2010), Cheng and Warfield (2005) and Mitani (2010) found

that firms with higher managerial ownership are associated with more earnings management. Therefore, higher managerial shareholdings encourage managers to use discretionary accruals to improve earnings and, consequently, the value of their stock holdings. Based on the outcome of the above findings, we therefore hypothesize that, H3:There is negative relationship between managerial shareholding and earnings management.

## Audit committee independence and Earnings management

The audit committee has been formed to act both as a conduit of information supplied by the management to the auditors, and at the same time to insulate the auditor from the pulls and pressures of the management. According to Klein (2002), auditing the operations of modern corporations is a complex process requiring understanding of the rules and judgments made by the management in preparing the financial statements. Moreover, Carcelloet al. (2002) used a sample of 100 Fortune-500 companies to examine if independent audit committee tries to protect its reputation by insisting on differentially quality higher audit and relationship with earnings management. Dabo and Adeyemi (2009) found that audit committee is positively related with discretionary accruals in Nigerian manufacturing firms. Based on the outcome of prior studies, we hypothesized that, H4: Audit committee independence has no significant influence earnings management.

# **Board Political connection and Earnings** management

The relationship between board political connection and earnings management have manifested in several ways. Political connections of the board of directors do influence the awarding of government contracts and blessings. Companies that have board connections to a political party will receive more government contracts

during periods in which that political party has greater control relative to periods in which that party has less control. In contrast, companies that are connected to the opposing party will receive fewer contracts. Politically connected enterprise can be seen as one that currently receiving favour (Peng & Luo, 2000; Johnson 2003; Khwaja&Mian, 2005; Osamwonyi&Tafamel 2013). Faccio and Parsley (2006) introduce a different approach in tracing for the political connections. They argue that political connection is based on the geographic origin and education and therefore suggest that politician systematically favour local firms and so location forms a basis of political connections.

Consequently, Baum et al. (2008) are of the view that the support of parliamentarians is extremely important for firms' minimization transaction cost associated with government bureaucracy. Niessen Ruenzi (2009) worked in a sample of 605 German public companies observed and found that politically connected firms are providing better accounting as well as stock market performance results. Faccio (2006) studies corporate political connections around the globe; she suggests that connections are particularly common in countries that are perceived as being highly corrupt: the connections are less common in the presence of the more stringent regulation of politica conflict of interest. Having examined the extant studies. hypothesized that, H5: There is negative relationship between board political connection and earnings management.

#### 3. METHODOLOGY

### **Research Design**

The study is a combination of cross sectional and time series (longitudinal) survey of non-financial institutions quoted in the Nigeria Stock Exchange covering a time period of six (6) years (2007-2012). Content analysis of the financial statements

for the relevant years formed the source of the Earnings management proxy by Discretionary accrual (DA). Our target population comprises of one hundred and fifty-six (156) firms' in non-financial sector in operation and quoted in the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) as at December 31<sup>st</sup> 2012. A total of eighty eight (88) firms constituted the sample size of this paper. The basis for arriving at the 88 sampled firms is by employing number estimation formula adopted from Yamane (1967). The statistical formula is stated, thus:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e^2)}$$

Where:

n= sample size

N= Population size

e= Level of significance desired, given that:

e = 0.07 and N = 156

$$n = \frac{156}{1 + 156(0.07)^2} = 88$$

The eighty-eight firms were selected through stratified purposive sampling techniques.

#### **Model Specification**

The extent of earnings management is most commonly assessed by the discretionary accruals in reported earnings (Persons, 2006). Meanwhile, Dechowet al (1995) observed that the original Jones model is unable to capture the impact of sales-based manipulation because accounts receivables should be considered not nondiscretionary accruals. Hence, proposed a modification to the original Jones model known as the Modified Jones model (1995). Based on the Modified Jones model, the nondiscretionary accruals (NDA) of the event period for the firm in time phase t is calculated using:

$$NDA_{i,t} = A_{it-1} + (\Delta REV_{it} - \Delta AR_{it}) + PPE_{it}$$

Where:  $NDA_{it}$ = nondiscretionary accruals for company iin year t Ai, t-1 = lagged (one year) total assets

 $\Delta REV_{i,t}$ = change in revenues for company iin year t

 $\triangle ARi, t=$  change in net receivables for company in year t

PPE*i*,*t*= property, plant and equipment for company *i* in year *t* 

The discretionary accruals  $(DA_{it})$  is then calculated as:

 $DA_{it} = TA_{it} - NDA_{it}$ 

In our study, total accruals (TA<sub>it</sub>) will be computed by the difference between income before tax and extraordinary ordinary items

(EBXI<sub>it</sub>) and net cash flow from operating (CFO<sub>it</sub>) as follow:

 $TA_{it} = EBTXI_{it} - CFO_{it}$ 

Finally, for the purpose of this study, our model is specified as:

 $DA_{it} = a_0 + a_1 BS_{it} + a_2 BI_{it} + a_3 MS_{it} + a_4$ 

 $ACI_{it} + a_5BPC_{it} + e$ 

Where;

 $a_{0}$ = Constant

The parameters:  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$ ,  $a_5$ ,  $a_6$  and  $a_7$  represent the coefficients.

it = firm (i) at time (t).

**Table 1: Operationalization of variables** 

Variables	Proxy	Operation	¤ Source	Apriori Sign
Earnings	Discretionary	$DA_{it} = TA_{it} - NDA_{it}$	(Dechow et. al.,	
management	Accrual (DA)		1995)	
Board Size	BS	Total number of members in the board of	(Wang & Lieu, 2003).	BS< or >0
		firm (i) at time (t).		( Nagativa)
				Negative) or
				(Positive)
Board	BI	Number of non executive	(Xie et.al., 2003)	BI < 0
Independence		directors divided by total board size (NED/TBS).		_
				(Negative)
Managerial	MS	Shares held by (CEO)	(Al-Fayoumi	MS > 0
shareholding		and other executive	et,al.,2010)	(D ::: )
		directors divided by total shares of firm		(Positive)
		(i) at time (t).		
Audit	ACI	Non executive divided	(Klein, et.al.,	ACI < 0
Committee		by total Audit	2002)	-
Independence		Committee size of firm		(Negative)
D 11:1 1 D 1	DD C	(i) at time (t)	/T71 '	DDC 0
Political Board	PBC	Dichotomous variable of	(Khwaja	BPC > 0
Connection		"1" for firm having board member with	&Mian,2005;	
		political title(s),	Osamwonyi& Tafamel,2013)	(Positive)
		otherwise "0" of firm at	1 41411161,2013)	(Fositive)
		time (t)		

Source: Researchers' survey (2015).

#### 4. ESTIMATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Table 2: Descriptive Statistics** 

	DA	BS	BI	MS	ACI	BPC
Mean	-2.970000	8.712121	0.682027	6.968100	0.553902	0.579545
Median	-6.440000	8.000000	0.670000	6.937321	0.500000	1.000000
Maximum	6.210000	16.00000	1.000000	10.65132	0.830000	1.000000
Minimum	-9.430000	4.000000	0.400000	3.060698	0.500000	0.000000
Std. Dev.	8.430000	2.538599	0.103919	1.616042	0.069716	0.494100
Skewness	-4.905353	0.667057	-0.182710	0.171970	1.365235	-0.322286
Kurtosis	57.34475	2.870142	3.041194	2.313410	5.148108	1.103869
Jarque-Bera	67091.23	39.52793	2.975019	12.97340	265.5363	88.23735
Probability	0.000000	0.000000	0.225935	0.001524	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	-1.570000	4600.000	360.1100	3679.157	292.4600	306.0000
Sum Sq. Dev	3.750000	3396.242	5.691132	1376.309	2.561363	128.6591
Observations						528
	528	528	528	528	528	

Table 2 highlights outcome of the descriptive statistics. Since most of the Jacque Bera test p-value calculated were

less than p-critical value which stood at 0,05 (5%), indicate that the results are normally distributed

**Table 3 Pearson Correlations** 

	DA	BS	BI	MS	ACI	BPC
DA	1					
BS	283	1				
BI	.149	322	1			
MS	109	.205	177	1		
ACI	022	.029	086	078	1	
BPC	084	.271	026	0.92	.229	1

Table 3 highlights associations of variables examined. The outcomes are correlated at 0.05 (5%) significant level (2-tailed).

The result of the correlation reveals that Board Size, Managerial Shareholding, Audit Committee independence, Board Political connection are negatively associated with discretionary accruals. This suggests that the higher the Board size, Board Size, Managerial Shareholding, Audit Committee independence, Board Political connection, the lower the level of earnings management. Board independence was seen to be positively associated with the discretionary accruals

**Table 4 Regression Result** 

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	Prob.
С	2.360000	6.440000	3.667026	0.0003
BS	-2.26000	2.090000	-1.080365	0.2805
BI	5.156409	2.120000	0.024376	0.9806
MS	9.890000	3.410000	2.896737	0.0039
ACI	2.330000	6.700000	0.347407	0.7284
BPC	7.070000	3.050000	2.318033	0.0236
R-Squared	.54			
Adjusted	.53			
Durbin Wartson	1.94			
F-Statistic	12.27185			
Prob. (F.Statistic)	0.000000			

The coefficient of determination R<sup>2</sup> which stood at a value of 0.541, indicates that over 54% of the systematic variations in the dependent variable wre accounted by the independent variables. Similarly, after adjusting the degree of freedom, a the coefficient determination,  $(\overline{R}^2)$  the adjusted R-square stood at 0.530 implying that over 53% of the systematic variations are explained while 47% are unexplained, hence captured by the stochastic disturbances. The values low of the coefficients determination and adjusted indicated presence of earnings management in the sampled firms. The coefficient statistic stood at 12.27 while the standard error of regression stood at minimal value of 6.59 and Durbin Watson (DW) statistics indicated absent of serial correlation value of 1.94. The results are impressive and capable for forecasting and taken fundamental decisions in relation to earnings management practices in firms.

#### **Discussions of Findings**

#### The findings are discussed as follows:

Firstly, it is revealed that Board of directors' size has no significant effect on earnings management. The size of the board is mere composition of insider and outsider directors. number of directors (executive and non-executive) cannot necessary have effect earnings on management practices of firms. Although, Peasnellet al (2004) in Ebrahim (2007) Xieet al (2003) documented that large board size can reduce earnings management or lower level of discretionary accruals. While Baum et al (2008) stated that significant positive correlation exist between board size and earnings management.

Secondly, there is no significant relationship between board independence and earnings Board management. independence fundamental in the monitoring controlling of management who choose to run affair of the firm for their personal gain. situation whereby the board independence have have conflict of interest, they may not exercise independence in monitory the executive directors. Meanwhile, Davidson et al (2005), and

klein (2006) there is negative relationship between board independence and earning management proxied by absolute discretionary accrual.

Thirdly, there is positive relationship between managerial share holdings and earnings management, executive directors owing shares in the firm can employ all form of deceptive strategies to take advantage of the firm for self interest. However, Ali *et al* (2008), Ebrahim (2007) had revealed that, management ownership in the firm is associated with lower level of earnings management. While Yang *et al* (2008) and Al-fayoumi *et al* (2010) found that managerial ownership are positively associated with more earnings management.

Fourthly, Audit committee independence has no significant influence on earnings management. The Audit committee as a subcommittee of the board of director, plays over sight function, monitoring and internal control effectiveness. This situation can affect the level of earnings management practices in the firm. But Carcelloet al (2002) argued that independent Audit committee to be positively related to earning management proxied by discretionary accrual.

Fifthly, Board political connection has positive relationship with earnings management. A board member using it political connection to attract presence of government so as to reduce tax payment and increase share value of the firm. Faccio and Parly (2006), Niessan and Ruenzi (2009); Osamwonyi and Tafamel (2013) evidence proved that Board politically connected is positively related to earnings management.

#### **5. Conclusion and Recommendations**

Considerable attention has been focused on the critical issues board characteristics as relate to earnings management. Earnings management has become common practices among firms. Earnings management is deceptive, it is practiced either to reduce tax,

or to attract investors. The constituted board of firms charged with the responsibilities of monitoring and controlling activities of management who run the affair of the firm for their personal interest. Consequently, the presence of the board in the firm is geared towards ensuring credibility, transparency and integrity report either with minimal earnings management practices or not as the case may be. Consequently, although the law allows firms to take advantage of the loopholes in the various laws and standards, in reporting their earnings, it does not mean that the board to totally support or encourage earnings management, for it is deceptive.

#### We therefore recommend that:

- 1. The board of directors should be composed of men and women of credible, integrity and transparent characters. Several firms (financial and non financial) have become insolvent in recent times because the board was not vigilant enough to understand notice early or handwriting/signal which was traceable to earnings management practices. When the board is composed of expert and intelligent members, they can easily track and hurt any sharp practices like earnings management that would have been detrimental to the firm in the long run.
- 2. Political connection members in the board should use their good offices to attract policies and issues that would be of immense benefits to the firm in which they represent and not to completely indulge or positive involved in earnings management practices. The political connected members should be seen as agent of success in the firm in which they represent the interest of the dispersed shareholders.
- **3**. Managers of firms like the chief executive officer (CEO) and other executive members of the firms should be duly appointed. Identified persons to be appointed or appointed executive directors or C.E.O should be of persons accountable

- and trustworthy of profession etiquette that would not involved or initiate deceptive activities in the firm. Managers of firms should endeavour to opportunistic gain on the detriment of the firm.
- 4. Earnings Management practices in firms have linked to activities of professional accountants who render non audit services such as in the case of Arthur Andersen (a reputable audit firm) who was the auditor of high profile demise firm Enron. The two Accounting professional bodies in Nigeria (Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria (ICAN) and Association of National Accountants of Nigeria (ANAN)) should closely monitor activities of their practicing members or external auditors to firm such that their professional auditors do not engage in facilitating earnings management practices in firms.
- **5**. The audit committee as subcommittee of the board of directors should be given adequate recognition as contained in the Companies and Allied Matters Acts (CAMA 1990). The independence member of the committee should ensure that financial statements and reports presented to them are closely examined and investigated so as to detect any form of earnings management practices if any and report accordingly. The audit committee independence should encourage not fraudulent practices in the firm.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Fayoumi, N., Abuzayed, B., & Alexander, D. (2010). Ownership structure and earnings management in emerging markets: The case of Jordan. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 38, 28-47.
- Ali, S. M., Salleh, N. M. & Hassan, M. S. (2008). Ownership structure and earnings management in Malaysian listed companies: The size effect. *Asian*

- *Journal of Business and Accounting*, 2, 89-116.
- Banderlipe, M. R. (2009). The impact of selected corporate governance variables in mitigating earnings management in the Philippines. *DLSU Business & Economics Review*, 19 (1), 17-27.
- Baum, C.F., LayLayan, M., Schafar, D & Talavera, O. (2008), Political patronage in Uranian Banking.
- Cai, Y. & Sevilir, M. (2012) Board connections and M&A transactions, *Journal of Financial Economics* 103, 327-349.
- Carcello JV, Hermanson DR, Neal TL, Riley RA (2002), Board chararacteristics and audit fees. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 19, 67-114
- Cheng, Q. & Warfield, T. D. (2005). Equity incentives and earnings management, *The Accounting Review*, 80, 2441-476.
- Chtourou, S. M., Bédard, J. &Courteau, L. (2001). Corporate governance and earnings management, *Working Paper*, *University Laval*.
- Dabo, E.L. & Adeyemi S.B. (2010): Corporate Governance and Credibility of Financial Statements in Nigeria. *Journal of Business Systems*, Governance and Ethics, 4 (1) 13-24.
- Davidson, R, Goodwin-Stewart J, &Kent, P. (2005). Internal governance structures and earnings management, Account. *Finance*.;: 1-27.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G. & Sweeney, A. P. (1996). Causes and consequences of earnings manipulation: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by SEC. *ContemporaryAccounting Research*, 13 (1), 1-35.
- Faccio, M. and Parsley, A. (2006)
  Politically connected firms. *American Economics Review*, 96(1), 369-386
  "Characteristics of Politically connected firms", Working paper.

- Gul, F., Kim, J., and Qiu, A. (2010). Ownership concentration, foreign shareholding, audit quality, and stock price synchronicity: Evidence from China", *Journal of Financial Economics*, 95, 425–442.
- Hartzell, J. and Starks, L. (2003). Institutional investors and executive compensation, *Journal of Finance*, 58, 2351–2374.
- Healy P, Wahlen J (1999). A Review of the Earnings Management Literature and its Implications for Standard Setting. *Accounting Horizons*, 13 (4): 365-384.
- Hermalin, B., and Weisbach, M. (2002). The effects of board composition and direct incentives on firm performance. *Financial Management*, 20, 101–112.
- Jiang. G. and Wang, H. (2008). Should earnings thresholds be used as delisting criteria in stock market. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 27, 409-419.
- Johnson, S.A. (2003). Debt maturity and effect of growth opportunities and liquidity risk on leverage. *Review of Financial Studies*, 16(1), 209-236.
  - Journal, 24, 1131–1151.
- Kao, J. L., Wu, D. & Yang, Z. (2009). Regulations, earnings management, and post-IPO performance: The Chinese evidence. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *33*, 63-7
- Kato, T. and Long, C. (2006).CEO turnover, firm performance, and enterprise reform in China: Evidence from micro data, *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 34, 796–817.
- Khwaja, A.I and Mian, A., (2005).Do lenders favour politically connected firms? Rent provision in an emerging financial market. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(4), 1371-1411
- Klein, A. (2002). Audit committee, board of director characteristics, and earnings

- management. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *33*, 375 □ 400.
- Klein, A. (2006), Economic determinants of audit committee independence. *The Accounting Review*, 77(2), 435-452.
- Lev, B. (2003) Corporate earnings: Facts and fiction. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17 (2), 27-50.
- Lin, X. and Germain, R. (2003).Organizational structure, context, customer orientation, and performance:

  Lessons from Chinese state-owned enterprise, *Strategic Management*
- Mitani, H. (2010). Additional evidence on earnings management and corporate governance. FSA Research Review, 6, 1-22.
- Niessen, A., Ruenzi., (2009). Political connectedness and firm performance: Evidence from Germany, *German Economic Review* Forthcoming.
- Onwuchekwa., J.C., Erah., D and Izedonmi., F (2012) Mandatory Audit Rotation and Audit Independence: Survey Of Southern Nigeria. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, Vol 3, No. 7
- Osamwonyi, I. O & Tafamel, E. A (2013). Firm performance and board Political Connection: Evidence from Nigeria, European Journal of Business and Management, 5 (26), 83-95.
- Peasnell, K., Pope, P., & Young, S. (2004). Board monitoring and earnings management: Do outside directors influence abnormal accruals? The Department of Accounting and Finance Lancaster University Management School, 1-45.
- Peng, M. and Luo, Y. (2000). Managerial ties and firm performance in a transition economy: the nature of micro-macro link, *Academy of Management Journal*, 43, 486–501.
- Persons, O (2006). The effect of fraud and lawsuit revelation on US executive

- turnover and compensation, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 64, 405–419
- Rahman, R. A. & Ali, F. H. M. (2006). Board, audit committee, culture and earnings management: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(7), 783-804.
- Rezaee, Z. (2002). Financial Statement Fraud – Prevention and Detection. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*
- Schipper, K. (1989). Commentary on earnings management: *Accounting horizon*, 91-95.

- Xie, B., Davidson, W. &Dadalt, P. (2003). Earnings management and corporate governance: The role of the board and the audit committee. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 9, 295 □ 316.
- Yang, C. Y., Lai, H. N. & Tan, B. L. (2008). Managerial ownership structure and earnings management. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 6, 1, 35-53