DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Chan, Syafruddin; Tabrani, Mirza; Fitri, Fauziah Aida

Article Maintaining the quality of Tuna of Aceh for the Japanese export market

Expert journal of marketing

Provided in Cooperation with: Expert journal of marketing

Reference: Chan, Syafruddin/Tabrani, Mirza et. al. (2019). Maintaining the quality of Tuna of Aceh for the Japanese export market. In: Expert journal of marketing 7 (1), S. 31 - 41.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/4588

Kontakt/Contact ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: *rights[at]zbw.eu* https://www.zbw.eu/

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.



https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsofuse

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.



ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics



Maintaining the Quality of Tuna of Aceh for the Japanese Export Market

Syafruddin CHAN^{*}, Mirza TABRANI and Fauziah Aida FITRI

University of Syiah Kuala, Indonesia

This study aims to reduce the number of Tuna processing in Aceh products that will be exported to Japan. The decline in the defect level of this product is a critical point to reduce the number of customer complaints so that business continuity with trading partners abroad is maintained. The main fish products export from Aceh are fresh tuna loin fish. There are often complaints from customers in export destination countries because the fresh Tuna Loin products received by them are not up to standard. The color of the tuna is less red and tends to be pale, the fish looks less fresh, and the texture of the fish is broken and mushy. To solve this problem, the PDCA method is used, which consists of Planning by doing observation in the fish processing unit starting from the reception of the fish until the final product processing. It does by improving the weakness of the process such as tightening the time of purchasing fish, adding fish containers, improve cooling room temperature, standardization of production process providing dry ice inside the packaging to maintain the quality of loin. Control by evaluating the number of complaints and compare it to the previous one. Action by doing standardization of the process and publish Standard Operation Procedures booklet as a guide to be followed during the production stage. This effort was supported by the use of 7 quality control tools, namely check sheet, histogram, Pareto chart, control chart, cause and effect diagram, and FMEA. There was also one expert Tuna from Japan is staying in Aceh to ensure the quality of Tuna delivered in accordance with Japanese market expectations. Improvements have been made to reduce the number of defects and complaints from customers. The number of defects items, both the color and texture of Tuna meat as of July to August 2018 felt by 45% and 42% respectively compare to the period of March-May 2018. Consequently the number of fresh tuna loin complaints has also decreased because all of the loin shipped to them were already in good quality. This research only takes samples on the processing of Tuna fishermen product in in Banda Aceh, and only for Japanese market. Further research suggested to cover area beyond Aceh and also for other countries apart from Japan, for export destination. Research on improving the quality of Tuna with PDCA method as long as the author's knowledge has never been done in Aceh because the export of Loin Tuna Aceh to Japan has only recently developed.

Keywords: Quality Control, PDCA, Tuna Loin, Product Defect, FMEA, Cold Chain, Supply Chain, Export Market, Quality Improvement, Japan Market

JEL Classification: M11, M31

*Corresponding Author:

Cite Reference:

Article History:

Syafruddin Chan, Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh 23111, Indonesia

Received 23 November 2018 | Accepted 19 February 2019 | Available online 5 March 2019

Chan, S., Tabrani, M. and Fitri, F.A., 2019. Maintaining the Quality of Tuna of Aceh for the Japanese Export Market. *Expert Journal of Marketing*, 7(1), pp. 31-41.

1. Introduction

Industrial development objectives, including the Tuna processing industry, are for the welfare of the people involved in this industry chain, ranging from Tuna Fishermen, Bench Stools / Collectors, Large Traders, Processors to Tuna Exporters.

The amount of benefits gained by each level of participants in the Tuna industry is highly dependent on the selling price of the Tuna itself (Jiang et al., 2016). The main determinant factor for the high and low selling prices received at each level, is very dependent on how much the buyer willing to pay the Tuna Loin from Aceh. At the same time, the desire of buyers to pay high prices depends on how good is the quality of tuna they can get (Maiti and Giri, 2015). In Aceh, the Tuna supply chain consists of 5 levels of the distribution chain, namely fishermen, collectors, wholesalers, processors and exporters. In many cases, the processor also functions as an exporter (Chan et al., 2017).

Efforts to increase the amount of tuna exported must be supported by an increase in the quantity, quality and added value of tuna itself (Wardono et al., 2015). Generally, companies that export tuna processed products have several challenges in running their business, including competition with similar companies, especially foreign companies, demands for the fulfilment of product quality standards that have been set for export markets, export capabilities with quantity according to buyer's request (Carballo et al., 2018). Thus, companies that want to survive must be able to produce quality products that are in line with the demands of consumers.

Low quality tuna will certainly be rejected by the buyer and cause great losses. For example in the period March - May 2018, only from one supplier there was no less than 426 Kg of defect product, either not passed the final QC in the processing or rejected by the buyer. If it was assumed that the selling price of exporters was USD 10 / kg then there was USD 4,260 of losses suffered by the Aceh Tuna industry due to the low quality of Tuna Loin produced (UD Nagata Tuna, 2018).

Seeing the importance of quality control at the processor level, may previous researchers have made a lot of studies in this field, to see what variables were the cause of the decline in the quality of Tuna Loin. In maintaining the quality of the products produced, it requires continuous quality improvement (Moin et al., 2018). Quality control is carried out to produce quality product that is in line with the expected demands (Mitra, 2016). Control techniques and product quality improvement can be analyzed using the Six Sigma method (Jairaman et al., 2017). Other researchers use the PDAC technique which stands for Plan, Do, Act and Control to make sure the production process has zero defect (Moen and Norman, 2006).

Although many researchers have examined this problem, for small-scale processing like the one in Banda Aceh, no one has examined it yet. Because this small-scale processing has more human power. In other words, Tuna processing is still be done manually. So there will be more variables that must be controlled compare to large-scale processing that has been run automatically (Nallusamy et al., 2015). Research on improving the quality of Tuna with PDCA method as long as the author's knowledge has never been done before in Aceh

To minimize the causes of failure in maintaining product quality, the authors added Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) production monitoring equipment, which was adopted from the automotive production quality control process at Ford Motor Company (Luo et al., 2015). FMEA is a structured procedure to identify and prevent as many modes of failure as possible during the production process (Dobryden et al., 2017). The decline in the defect level of this product is a critical point to reduce the number of customer complaints in order to maintain the business continuity (Birim et al., 2016).

The findings from this study can later be used as a model for the development of small-scale tuna industry that can be used and generalized to similar companies in all coastal areas of Indonesia.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Understanding Quality

Pianta et al. (2016), define the quality as a fulfilment of requirements by minimizing the damage that may arise or known as zero defect standard. Whereas Goetsch et al. (2014) came up with a broader definition of quality. The quality is a dynamic condition that deals with products, services, people, processes, and environments that meet or exceed expectations. Different perspectives have been derived from the last decade to understand the term "quality". Every customer established some needs and these needs should be transformed into measurable characteristics. The final aim is to make the customer satisfied for what they paid for a service or a product. Some of the definitions are as follows (Kumar et al., 2016): • Quality is fitness for

the purpose • Quality is a degree of customer satisfaction • Quality is accuracy in meeting the specification or design • Quality is meeting the standards or norms • Quality is a degree of excellence.

2.2. Quality Control

According to Goetsch et al. (2014), quality control is an effective control system to coordinate quality maintenance efforts, and improve the quality during a production process, to satisfy consumer needs and desires. Mitra (2016) said that quality control is as an effective tool in reducing product defect.

2.3. PDCA

As stated by Moin et al. (2018), PDCA is a model for continuous improvement by planning, doing, checking, and acting. The PDCA cycle is generally used to test and implement changes to improve the performance of products, processes or a system in the future. As stated by Moen, R. and Norman, C. (2006), explanation of the stages in the PDCA cycle is Develop a plan (Plan), Carry out a plan (Do), Check or examine the results achieved (Check), and Make adjustments when needed (Action). There were 7 tools developed for PDCA, namely: 1. Check Sheet 2. Histogram 3. Pareto Diagram 4. Flow Chart 5. Scatter Diagram 6. Control Chart 7. Fish Bone Diagram. (Varsha et al., 2014).

2.4. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

Liu et al. (2015) mentioned that FMEA is a structured procedure to identify and prevent as many failure modes as possible. They added that a failure mode is anything that is included in the defect, conditions outside the specifications, or changes in the product that cause disruption of the function of the product. The stages of applying FMEA are as follows 1. Descriptions and Objectives 2. Identifying Potential Failure Modes 3. Identifying Potential Failure Effects 4. Determining Severity Rating 5. Identifying Causes of Failure Potential 6. Determining Rating of Occurrence 7. Identification of Detection Level 8. Calculating Risk Priority Number (Dobryden et al., 2017).

3. Research Methodology

This research is an exploratory research that aims to determine the causal factors that cause problems. To solve this problem PDCA method is used by doing observation in the fish processing unit starting from the material reception until the final product processed. It does by improving the weakness of the process such as tightening the time of purchasing, adding fish containers, improve cooling room temperature, standardization of production process, providing dry ice inside the packaging to maintain the quality of loin. Then, evaluating the number of defect and compare it to the previous one. Last step is to do the standardization of the process and publish Standard Operation Procedures booklet as a guide to be followed during the production stage.




Figure 1. Research Process

The primary data is obtained through direct interviews and direct field observations. While the secondary data to support this research is obtained from the documentation process data that exists in the company, including the amount of production and the number of product defects. The improvement process was supported by 7 quality control tools namely Check sheet, Histogram, Pareto chart, Control chart, Fishbone diagram, and Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA).

4. Results and Discussion

The following were the results of this study which were presented in the order of the PDCA approach.

4.1. Step 1: Plan

The first step in the improvement plan was to collect production data, the number of defects, and the type of defect. The information was recorded in the check sheet.

a. Defect product consists of two types, namely Color and Texture.

	Table 1. Defect Products						
No	Month	Defect	Amount (Kg)	Percentage (%)	Production (Kg)		
1	Mar-18	Color	90.00	7.50%	1200		
		Texture	Texture 60.00		1		
2	Apr-18	Color	82.50	6.50%	1100		
		Texture	52.80	4.80%			
3	May-18	Color	86.25	6.70%	1150		
		Texture	51.75	4.50%			

b. Histogram was used to help determine the spread of the number of defects so that it could be found the types of product defects that occur most often.




Figure 2. The level of Product Defect

c. Pareto diagram was used to describe what types of defects often occur frequently. The following is a Pareto diagrams for the period March-May 2018





Figure 3. Scatter of Product Defect

d. Control Chart was used to see whether the quality control process that had been carried out had been controlled.

	Co	lor Defect			Т	exture Defect	
	Amount (Kg)	Mean (CL)	UCL —LCL	-	 Amount (Kg) 	Mean (CL)	UCL —LCL
70	Mar	Apr	May		Mar	Apr	May
	75	75	75 10	35,00			
75	-75	• 75	● 75 LC	40,00	LCL -41,4	• 41,4	• 41,4
80		82,5		45,00			
85	80,25	• 86,25	86,25	50,00		52,80	51,7
50	● <u>86,25</u>	06.25	96 DE	55,00	<u>► 54,8</u>	5 52,85	54,8
90	~ 90			60,00	60,0)	
95	- 57,5	- 57,5	51,5	65,00		- 00,0	- 00,0
100	<u> </u>	97,5	97,5 UC	70,00	UCL - 68,3	68,3	68,3

Figure 4. UCL, LCL and CL for Color and Texture Defects

e. The causal diagram or commonly called fishbone diagram was useful to show the main factors as source of the causes of the defect during production activities.



f. Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) was adopted from Ford Motor Company to describe which type of defect that has more significant impact compare to the others.

Table 2. FMEA								
No	Defect Mode	Defect Effect	Cause of Defect	Detection Process	S	0	D	RPN
1	Color	Plain Color	exposed to sunlight	Random sampling	4	5	4	80
2	Texture	Bad Texture	Broken Cold Chain	Random sampling	4	6	5	120
37.								

Note: S: Severity 1-10; O: Occurrence 1-10; D: Detection 1-10; RPN: Risk Priority Number (OxD)

g. Corrective Action Plan. Corrective action was basically the plan to overcome two problems regarding color and texture. To solve color problem there were 5 variables to be corrected.

	Table 3. Color Correction Plan						
No	Causes	What	Why	How	Where	Who	
	Description	Ideas	Measures of	How to apply	Location	PIC	
			Success				
1	Processing	Adding light	Space becomes	Additional lights	Special	Engineering	
	room is not	intensity	bright	in the checked	checker	Section	
	bright enough			room	room	Employee	
2	Fish inspection	Tightening the	The whole tuna	Socialization of	On the ship	Checker clerk	
	is not strict	quality of fish	that is purchased	tuna fishers			
		during the	really has sashimi				
		inspection on board	grade quality				
2	The	Repair the cooling	The maximum	Special	Production	Mechanical	
	temperature in	engine as soon as	process room	mechanical	room	officer	
	process room	possible with a	temperature is	cooling machine			
		maximum	20oC	call (AC)			
		temperature of 20oC					
3	Change of	Establishing a fixed	Every production	Re-adjustment of	Production	Production	
	process flow	standard for the flow	process must	the right	room	staff and	
		of the production	have a fixed flow	production space		employees	
		process for fresh					
		loin tuna					
4.	Packaging	Providing dry ice for	Decreasing	Purchasing dry	Packing	Production	
	only uses ice	each box of fresh	complaints from	ice every time	room	employees	
	jelly	tuna loin	customers	there is a			
				production			
				process			

While to make correction for Texture problems, there were 2 variables need to be revised.

Table 4. Texture Improvement Plan

No	Causes	What	Why	How	Where	Who
1	Rough	The awareness of	Tuna loin does	Dissemination of	Raw material	Production
	handling	employees is the	not become	whole fish	reception room	officer
	_	importance of good and	soft and	reception officers.	_	
		smooth handling of fish	broken	-		
		that are still intact				
2	Manual	Adding tools to facilitate	Tuna loin does	Provision of aids	Production and	Production
	transfer of	the process of moving	not become	for the removal of	acceptance of	officer
	fish	fish	soft and	fish	raw materials	
			breaks when			
			loin			

4.2. Step 2: Do

Improvements was made to reduce defects due to the decrease in color quality with the 5W + 1H

a. Color Correction. There were 5 stages used to solve 5 problems that cause the drop in color quality.

	5W+1H	Descriptions			
The checker room is	What	Proposed improvements: Adding light inter	nsity		
not bright enough	Why	Checker work that relies on visuals become	es less accurate		
	Who	Checker Officer	Checker Officer		
	Where	Production room/checker			
	How	The improvement step done here is by comparing the intensity of the light before and after repaired			
		Before repaired After repaired			
		The plug is not optimal in checking the quality of the fish	Checkers are more accurate in checking ship quality		

 Table 5. Color Correction No. 1

This was the problem regarding the light in the checker room. It should be added more light to increase the brightness of the room.

	Table 6. Color correction Cause No. 2					
Problem	5W+1H	Descriptions				
Fish inspection is	What	Proposed improvements: Tightening the qua	lity of fish during the inspection on board			
not strict	Why	The whole tuna that is purchased really has	sashimi grade quality			
	Who	Checker Officer	Checker Officer			
	Where	Above the ship/place of a demolition of tuna	1			
	How	The improvement step taken here is by con	nparing the quality of tuna fish that is in			
		accordance with the wishes of consumers an	d which is not appropriate.			
		Before repaired After repaired				
		Checkers are less strict in checking every	The plug is tighter when checking fish			
		purchase of fish	while on board			

In this inspection activity, make sure the checker did his job appropriately to reject all low quality material during the inspection process. **Table 7** Color correction Cause No. 3

	Table 7. Color correction Cause No. 3				
Problem	5W+1H	Descriptions			
Hot temperature in the	What		e cooling engine as soon as possible with a		
process room		maximum temperature of 20oC			
	Why	The maximum process space tempe	erature must be 20oC		
	Who	Refrigerator mechanic			
	Where	Production process room			
	How	The corrective steps taken here are	e a special mechanical call for a cooling		
		machine (AC)			
		Before repaired After repaired			
		Temperature space between 27oC Temperature process space is a			
		- 30oC	maximum of 20oC		

Table 8. Color correction Cause No. 4

Problem	5W+1H	Descriptions			
Change of process	What	Proposed improvements: Establish a fixed standar	d for the flow of fresh loin tuna		
flow		production process	production process		
	Why	Every production process must have a fixed flow			
	Who	Production staff and employees			
	Where	Production process room			
	How	Re-adjustment of the right production space			
		Before repaired After repaired			
		The production process changes and the process The process flow is shorter and			
		flow is longer.	shorter.		

During the processing time, the cold chain had to be there, including the temperature in processing room.

Table 9.	Color	correction	Cause	No. 5	5
----------	-------	------------	-------	-------	---

Problem	5W+1H	Descriptions			
Packaging only uses	What	Proposed improvements: Giving	dry ice to each box of fresh tuna loin		
ice jelly	Why	Decreasing complaints from cust	Decreasing complaints from customers		
	Who	Production employees			
	Where	Packing room			
	How	Purchasing dry ice every time the tuna loin box	Purchasing dry ice every time there is a production process and giving to each tuna loin box		
		Before repaired	After repaired		
		The packaging process only	The use of sugar ice and ice jelly as cooling		
		uses ice jelly	media in Styrofoam		

Jelly ice was always the problem since the stock of jelly ice was limited. Need to buy sufficient amount of jelly ice so every boxes shipped has enough jelly ice on it.

b. Texture Correction

There were two major problems need to be solved regarding the low quality of the texture.

	Table 10. Texture Correction No.1					
Problem	5W+1H	Descriptions				
Rough	What	Proposed improvements: Socialization	of employees is important for the good and smooth			
Handling		handling of fish that are still intact				
	Why	Tuna loin does not become soft and br	oken			
	Who	Employees produce reception				
	Where	Raw material reception room				
	When	June 1, 2018				
	How	Socialization of whole fish reception o	fficers			
		Before repaired After repaired				
		Employees move whole fish roughly Employees are more careful in handling whole				
			fish			

Table 10 Texture Connection No. 1

The employees need to treat the tuna carefully as a baby, to avoid a texture problem.

Table 11. Texture Correction No.2

Problem	l		5W+1H	Descriptions					
Manual	transfer	of	What	Proposed improvements: Add tools to facilitate the process of displace fish					
fish			Why	Tuna loin does not become soft and breaks					
			Who	Production employees					
			Where	Raw material reception room					
			When	June 2018					
			How	Addition of tools for the process of displacing fish					
				Before repaired After repaired					
				Employees displace whole fish Employees are easy and light in moving					
				roughly fish					

It is suggested to use supporting equipment to mobilize fish from one place to another to make sure the tuna always in a better condition

4.3. Step 3: Check

a. Check Sheet. The first step at this stage was to collect production and defect data in the period of June - August 2018. The following table shows the information about defect product after the improvement.

No	Month	Defect	Amount (Kg)	Percentage (%)	Production (Kg)
1	Jun-18	Color	54.00	7.50%	1350
		Texture	40.50	5.00%	
2	Jul-18	Color	51.00	6.50%	1275
		Texture	31.88	4.80%	
3	Aug-18	Color	60.84	6.70%	1352
		Texture	37.18	4.50%	

Table 12. Defect After Improvement

b. Below was histogram of the defect after improvement.




Figure 6. The Defect after the Improvement

c. Pareto Diagram

After the data of June-August 2018 period was collected, the next step was to make a Pareto diagram to make it easier to see for each type of defect.




Figure 7. Pareto Diagram after the Improvement

d. Control Chart.

After making a Pareto diagram, the next step was to do an analysis using a control chart to find out whether the corrective actions that had been carried out were successful or not

Table 12. Color After the Improvement										
No	Month	Production (Kg)	Amount (Kg)	Mean (CL)	Proportion	Mean (CL)	SD	UCL	LCL	
1	Jun	1350	54	55.28	0.040	0.042	0.003	0.050	0.033	
2	Jul	1275	51	86.25	0.040	0.042	0.003	0.050	0.033	
3	Aug	1352	61	86.25	0.045	0.042	0.003	0.050	0.033	

Table 13. Texture After the Improvement

No	Month	Production (Kg)	Amount (Kg)	Mean (CL)	Proportion	Mean (CL)	SD	UCL	LCL
1	Jun	1350	41	36.52	0.030	0.0275	0.0025	0.035	0.020
2	Jul	1275	32	54.85	0.025	0.0275	0.0025	0.035	0.020
3	Aug	1352	37	54.85	0.028	0.0275	0.0025	0.035	0.020




Figure 8. UCL, CL and LCL after the Improvement

The result. The following is the data before and after the Improvement. e.

	Table 14. Comparison before and After									
Bef	fore	At	fter	Diffe	erence	Difference (%)				
Color	Texture	Color	Texture	Color	Texture	Color	Texture			
0.075	0.050	0.040	0.030	0.035	0.020	47%	40%			
0.081	0.048	0.040	0.025	0.041	0.023	51%	48%			
0.071	0.045	0.045	0.028	0.026	0.018	37%	39%			
	45%	42%								

Table 14 Comparison Before and After

By seeing the information in the table we could recognize that there was a significant impact in decreasing both the defect of color and texture by 45% and 42% respectively.

4.4. Step 4: Action

After several corrective actions had been taken in the production activities in June 2018 and rechecking the results of repairs during the June-August 2018 period, it could be seen that the quality problems that occur had been minimized. The defect rate decreased by 45% and 42% respectively for Colour and Texture. The next step was to maintain the results that had been achieved to prevent the recurrence of the same problem happen again as well as for the purposes of quality control in the future. This is what we call a standardization process or Action in PDCA approach. This standardization should be written in operational procedures (SOPs) and posted on the walls of the production room. The standards that had been set was not a fixed or final. It can be modify whenever needed.

5. Conclusion and Limitation of the Study.

From the results and findings of this study, we understand that there were a significant decrease of both color and texture defect by 45% and 42%. Thus Tuna Loin processing companies, especially small-scale ones, can implement the same approach in solving problems related to low quality of Tuna to improve the quality to meet buyer expectation. By providing a good quality of Tuna loin, hopefully the complaint from buyer also decrease significantly because we have enough good quality product to be shipped to them.

Because this research is very limited in the scope, the future research related to quality improvement can be expanded by representing Tuna processing companies on a larger scale, so that the results can be generalized to give some contribute to the knowledge related to the product quality.

References

- Birim, S., Anitsal, M. M. and Anitsal, İ., 2016. A Model Of Business Performance In The Us Airline Industry: How Customer Complaints Predict The Performance?. *Business Studies Journal*, 8(2), pp.77-90.
- Carballo, J., Ottaviano, G. I. and Martincus, C. V., 2018. The buyer margins of firms' exports. *Journal of International Economics*, 112, pp.33-49.
- Chan, S., Tabrani, M. and Fitri, F. A., 2017. *Increasing The Quality Of Tuna To Fulfill Japan Export Market Preferences* [online] Available at: http://digilib.unimed.ac.id/28340/ [Accessed on 11 December 2018].
- Dobryden, A., Rutter, B., Hartl, D. and Bramson, E., 2017. Failure mode avoidance approach for hybrid electric vehicle systems. *SAE International Journal of Engines*, 10, pp.222-226.
- Goetsch, D. L. and Davis, S. B., 2014. *Quality management for organizational excellence*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Handoko, A., 2017. Implementation of quality control using the PDCA and seven tools approach on PT. Rosandex PP in Surabaya. Calyptra, *Surabaya University Student Scientific Journal*, 6(2), p.1.
- Jairaman, J., Sakiman, Z. and Li, L. S., 2017. Sunway Medical Laboratory Quality Control Plans Based on Six Sigma, Risk Management and Uncertainty. *Clinics in laboratory medicine*, 37(1), pp.163-176.
- Jiang, B., Tian, L., Xu, Y. and Zhang, F., 2016. To share or not to share: Demand forecast sharing in a distribution channel. *Marketing Science*, 35(5), pp. 800-809.
- Kumar, M. P., Raju, N. V. S. and Kumar, M. S., 2016. Quality of Quality Definitions–An Analysis. International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology, 5, pp.142-148.
- Liu, H.C., You, J.X., You, X.Y. and Shan, M.M., 2015. A novel approach for failure mode and effects analysis using combination weighting and fuzzy VIKOR method. *Applied Soft Computing*, 28, pp.579-588.
- Luo, S. H. and Lee, G. G., 2015. Applying failure mode and effects analysis for successful knowledge management. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 26(1-2), pp.62-75.
- Maiti, T. and Giri, B. C., 2015. A closed loop supply chain under retail price and product quality dependent demand. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 37, pp.624-637.
- Mitra, A., 2016. Fundamentals of quality control and improvement. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Moen, R. and Norman, C., 2006. *Evolution of the PDCA cycle*. [online] Available at: http://cissp.tjscott.net/standards/ moen.norman.pdca.origins.pdf [Accessed on 11 December 2018].
- Moin, C. J., Doulah, A. S. U., Ali, M. and Sarwar, F., 2018. Implementation of an operating procedure for quality control at production level in a RMG industry and assessment of quality improvement. *The Journal of The Textile Institute*, 109(4), pp.524-535.

- Nallusamy, S., Dinagaraj, G. B., Balakannan, K. and Satheesh, S., 2015. Sustainable green lean manufacturing practices in small scale industries-A case study. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 10(62), pp.143-146.
- Pianta, R., Downer, J. and Hamre, B., 2016. Quality in early education classrooms: Definitions, gaps, and systems. *The Future of Children*, pp.119-137.
- Chase, R.B., Aquilano, N.J. and Jacobs, R.F., 2001. *Operations Management for Competitive Advantage*. 9th Edition, New York: Mc GrawHill Companies.
- Singh, A.P., 2013. Quality Improvement Using Statistical Process Control Tool in Glass Bottles Manufacturing Company. *International Journal for Quality Research*, Vol. 7, pp.107-126.
- Tague, N. R, 2005, The Quality Toolbox, 2th ed., Milwaukee, Wisconsin: ASQ Quality Press.
- Magar, V.M. and Shinde, V.B., 2014. Application of 7 Quality Control (7 QC) Tools for Continuous Improvement of Manufacturing Processes. International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science, 2(4).
- Wardono, B., Fauzi, A., Fahrudin, A. and Purnomo, A. H., 2015. Value-Added Business Based On Small Scale Of Fisheries: A Case Study On Nortern And Shouthern Coasts Of Java (Lamongan And Pelabuhanratu Regency), Indonesia. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 4(8), pp.134-139.

