

DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft
ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Book Part Index

Provided in Cooperation with:
ZBW LIC

Reference: In: Talent Management in Higher Education (2024). Emerald Publishing Limited, S. 197 - 201.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80262-685-820241012>.
doi:10.1108/978-1-80262-685-820241012.

This Version is available at:
<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/692718>

Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics
Düsternbrooker Weg 120
24105 Kiel (Germany)
E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)
<https://www.zbw.eu/>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.



<https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsfuse>

ZBW Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft
Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Mitglied der

Leibniz
Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

Index

- Ability, motivation, opportunity model (AMO model), 46
- Academic capital, 102
- Academic careers, 103, 105
- Academic cooperation, 163–164
- Academic culture, 56
- Academic functions, 59
- Academic housework, 140
- Academic landscape, 57
- Academic managers, 7
- Academic performance, 2, 40, 180
 - revaluation, 183–184
- Academic skills, 139
- Academic talents, 46, 131
- Advisory Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (AWTI), 57
- Agency, 68
 - conflicts, 184
 - theory, 184
- Agricultural University of Wageningen, 22
- Analytical skills, 139
- Assessment, 56
- Autonomy, 83
- Basic psychological needs, 88–90
- Berlin's Humboldt University, 180
- Bibliometrics, 81
- Bologna process, 100
- Broadening assessment, 57–62
- Burn-out, 82, 86
- Career stage, 121
- Career transitions
 - competition *vs.* multidisciplinary collaboration, 108–110
 - distanced from real life *vs.* practical impact of research, 107–108
 - findings, 106–113
 - individualism and loneliness *vs.* supportive space, 110–111
 - lack of perspectives *vs.* competence-based development, 111–112
 - practical implications, 115
 - research methods, 106
 - suggestions for further research, 114
 - synthesis, 112–113
 - theoretical framework, 101–105
- Challenge-driven, Accessible, Research-based, Mobile, European University (CHARM-EU), 172
- Coalition for Advancing Research Assessment (COARA), 44, 70, 167–168, 170
- Coalitions in academia, 169–171
- Cognitive Career, 104, 113
- Cognitive legitimacy, 168
- Coincidence, 105
- Collaboration, 162
- Collaborative innovation, 162
 - academic cooperation, 163–164
 - coalitions and strategic alliances in academia, 169–171
 - and cooptation, 164–166
 - interface between coalitions and strategic alliances in academia, 171–173
 - leaders, laggards and legitimacy, 167–169
 - shaping talent management through, 173–175

- Collaborative research, 105
- Collaborative strategies, 165
- Commercial ventures, 105
- Competence, 83
- Competition, 108–110
- Cooperation, 162–163
- Coopetition, 164–166
- Covid-19
 - crisis, 2, 11
 - pandemic, 31–32
- Culture of individualism, 57
- Current research information system (CRIS), 68

- Decision-maker perspectives, 147–151
- Declaration on Research Assessment movement (DORA movement), 11, 43, 60, 69–70, 162–164
- Deepening assessment, 57–62
- Discourse analysis, 124–125, 130
- Doctoral students, 79
- Dropout rates, 80
- Dutch case, 80–82
- Dutch government, 21
- Dutch Strategy Evaluation Protocol, 61

- Educational factory, 31
- Educational science, 22
- Employee behaviour, 6
- Employee participation, 31
- Employee rotation, 162
- Employee well-being, 186
- Equity, 67–69
- European Research Council (ERC), 148
- European Union (EU), 29, 39, 100, 163
- European University Association (EUA), 62, 163
- Evidence-based CVs, 65–66
- Excellence, 4, 57–58
- Exclusive approach, 3–4
- Exclusive talent development, 121–123

- Fairness, 7–8
- Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable data (FAIR data), 41
- Focus groups, 124
- Formal performance systems, 7

- Gender, 121
 - bias, 138
 - differences in salary allocation, 143–145
 - inequality in academia, 139–140
 - pay gap, 147
- Generalists, 26–27
- Gläser and Laudel model, 105
- Graduate education, 79

- h*-index, 63–64, 184
- Harvard model, 9, 46
- High-potential management
 - development programmes, 121–122
- High-ranked journals, 164
- Higher education, 2, 6, 12, 20, 78
 - growing influence of government and society, 27–32
 - growing need for higher educated people, 22–23
 - growing significance of knowledge, 20–22
 - impact, 31–32
 - research, 29–30
 - student demonstration time, 23–24
 - talent development in, 124–129
 - teaching, 30–31
 - transition from elite to mass higher education, 24–27
 - worker/student participation, 31
- Higher Education Leadership Initiative for Open Science (HELIOS), 44, 70–71
- Homogeneous workforces, 8
- Horizon Europe, 29

- Human resource management (HRM), 2, 9, 38, 40, 162, 187
- Human resources (HRs), 2, 44, 81, 120, 146
- Hybridity, 62
- Impact, 57, 180
- Inclusive approach, 3–4
- Inclusive talent development, 123–124
- Individual employee well-being, 40
- Individualism, 110–111
- Innovative talent management practices, 188–190
- Innovators, 167
- International Association of Universities (IAU), 163
- International developments, 69–71
- International Network of Research Management Societies (INORMS), 70
- Isomorphism, 167
- Job demands–resources model, 82–83, 86–88
- Job market, 81
- Job resources, 83
- Joint investments, 162
- Journal impact factor (JIF), 39, 57, 63, 171, 184
- Justice issues, 7–8
- KNAW, 43
- Knowledge, growing significance of, 20–22
- Knowledge, skills and abilities (KSAs), 172
- Knowledge exchange, 162
- Labour market-based salary systems, 141
- Laggards, 167–169
- Leaders, 167–169
- Leadership, 50
 - aspects, 58
 - development, 50
- League of European Research Universities (LERU), 21, 163
- Legitimacy, 167–169
- Line management enactment, 50
- Line managers, 6
- Loneliness, 110–111
- Lotus flower model, 59
- Lower classes, 24
- Managerialism, 181
- Managers, 7
- Marketing, 22
- Mastery, 47
- Mastery/Purpose/Autonomy approach, 46
- Medicine, 21
- Mental health, 79, 86
 - crisis, 79
 - of doctoral students, 80
- Merit-based systems, 141
- Meritocratic beliefs, 140
- Metrics, 57, 62
- Moral legitimacy, 168
- More Than Our Rank initiative, 69–70
- Multidisciplinary collaboration, 108–110
- Multilevel HRM process model, 6
- Natural sciences, 21
- New Public Management, 29, 181
- Non-neutral standards, 149
- NWO, 43
- Olympus image of science, 128
- Open access (OA), 41
- Open Citations, 68
- Open infrastructure, 67–69
- Open Science, 28, 38, 41–42, 66–67, 181
 - approach, 164
 - movement, 183
 - programmes, 2, 8, 11
- OpenAIRE Research Graph, 68
- OpenAlex, 68

- Organisation for Economic
Co-operation and
Development (OECD), 20,
100
- Organizational Career, 104, 113
- Organizational effectiveness, 40
- Organizational justice, 8
- Organizational legitimacy, 168
- Organizations, 138
- Outcomes, 3, 6

- Pay, 138
- Pay inequality in Dutch university,
143
 - gender differences in salary
allocation, 143–145
 - processes, policies, and practices
of hiring, selection and
promotion, 146–151
- Peer-review process, 62, 89
- Performance, 2, 9
- Personal agency, 105
- PhD Candidate Network Netherlands
(PNN), 80
- PhD candidates, 80, 143
- PhD degree, 81
- PhD holders, 101
- Political science, 22
- Polytechnics of Fachhochschule, 22
- Positive psychology, 123
- Practical impact, 107
- Pragmatic legitimacy, 168
- Private-sector strategies, 78
- Productification, 48
- Professionalization, 181
 - of higher education, 32
- Professorship, 105
- Projectification' of academia, 78
- Proxy indicators, 60
- Psychology, 22
- Public values, 10

- Qualitative indicators, 60
- Quantitative indicators, 60
- Quantitative metrics, 39

- Rankings, 67–69
- Recognition and Rewards, 8, 38,
162–163
 - in academia, 43–44
 - academic performance, 40
 - Open Science, 41–42
 - research excellence, 38–40
 - restoring balance through talent
management in, 48
 - talent management in, 46–48
- Relatedness, 83
- Research assessment, 60
- Research evaluation, 67
- Research excellence, 38–40
- Research integrity, 66–67

- Salary allocation of new hires,
146–147
- Salary systems, 139
 - and inequality, 141–142
- Science, 32
- Science, Technology, Engineering and
Math (STEM), 39
- SciVal, 68
- Self-determination theory, 83–84
- Small-scale university education, 21
- Social capital skills, 139
- Social legitimacy, 166
- Socialization of higher education, 27
- Societal well-being, 40
- Stereotypes, 140
- Strategic alliances in academia,
169–171
- Strengths, 4
- Student demonstration time, 23–24
- Subject approach, 3
- Supportive space, 110–111
- Sustainability, 11

- Talent, 3–5, 100, 138, 162, 180
 - broadening meaning, 184–186
 - crisis in academia, 186–188
- Talent development, 120
 - in higher education, 124–129
 - theoretical perspectives, 121–124

- Talent management, 3–5, 20, 49, 79, 114, 120, 132, 162
 - impact of contextual factors on talent management policies, 9–12
 - in Recognition and Rewards, 46–48
- Talent management practices
 - implementation, 180
 - multiactor and multilevel perspective on, 5–7
- Tenure track, 4
- Tournament model, 141
- Traditional administrators, 24
- Traditional assessment, 57
- Transforming Open Responsible Research and Innovation through CHARM (TORCH), 172
- Transparency and accountability in decision-making policies and processes, 139
- TRIPLE model, 44–45
 - for recognition and rewards, 59
- Universities, 6, 22–23, 39, 42, 56–57, 78, 100, 128, 140
- University for Humanistic Studies, 22
- University job classification system (UJC system), 143, 146
- University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMCU), 59
- University of Zurich, 21
- UNL, 43
- Utrecht University (UU), 42, 44–46
- Well-being, 79
- Women academics, 126
- World Health Organization (WHO), 82
- ZonMW, 43