# DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW - Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW - Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Awad, Atif

# **Article**

Structural transformation versus environmental quality: the experience of the low-income countries in Sub Saharan Africa

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

# **Provided in Cooperation with:**

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

Reference: Awad, Atif (2021). Structural transformation versus environmental quality: the experience of the low-income countries in Sub Saharan Africa. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 11 (6), S. 479 - 488.

https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/11516/6153. doi:10.32479/ijeep.11516.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/7912

# Kontakt/Contact

ZBW - Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: rights[at]zbw.eu https://www.zbw.eu/

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.

# Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.



https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsofuse





# **International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy**

ISSN: 2146-4553

available at http: www.econjournals.com

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 2021, 11(6), 479-488.



# Structural Transformation versus Environmental Quality: The Experience of the Low-income Countries in Sub Saharan Africa

### Atif Awad\*

Department of Finance and Economic, College of Business Administration, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, UAE. \*Email: aawoad@sharjah.ac.ae

**Received:** 16 May 2021 **Accepted:** 25 September 2021 **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.11516

#### **ABSTRACT**

Structural transformation has been recognized as a critical mechanism for improving living standards for developing countries in Africa. However, the growing evidence indicates that such change is associated with considerable damage to the environment quality and, hence, challenging sustainable development. The present study investigates industrialization's influence on the environment quality for 20 low-income countries in Sub-Saharan Africa during 1980-2018. We employed two measurements for environmental quality, which are CO2 and nitrous dioxide emissions. Likewise, the study applied the Fully modified OLS and the Dynamic OLS as the most modern and suitable techniques related to the panel data analysis. Overall, the FMOL and DOLS results show that industrialization has an insignificant influence on environmental quality. The results also show that these countries' population size is the main driver for environmental quality changes. This finding implies that these countries should continue in their current efforts regarding promoting the industrial sector without wondering about sustainable development.

Keywords: EKC, Industrialization, Low-income Countries, FMOL, DOLS

JEL Classifications: Q560, Q580, O140, O550

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Since the beginning of the new millennium, the figures show that African economies have been growing at a somewhat speedy rate (UNCTAD, 2012). The achieved growth was reflected in an improvement on several factors such as trade, FDI, and progress in the physical infrastructure (African Union's Agenda 2063, 2015; African Development Bank, 2015; African Transformation Report, 2014; UNCTAD, 2012; IMF, 2013). Unfortunately, evidence suggests that the present trend of growth is neither inclusive nor sustainable. Several interrelated factors have been identified as primary sources for this failure. However, bypassing industrialization, a major stage in the structural change and development process, is recognized as a critical explanation(UNCTAD, 2012; Opokua and Boachieb, 2020). Theoretically, structural change is said to occur, as described by Kuzents(1966) and others, through the gradual movement

and shift of an economy via two stages. In the first stage, from agriculture to the industrial sector, the second stage is industrial to the services sector. However, unlike other regions' experiences, in Africa, the economy jumps directly from agriculture to informal economic activities in the service sector(UNCTAD, 2012; Opokua and Boachieb, 2020). The industrial and manufacturing sector is recognized as the sector able to create new and sustainable job opportunities. Thus, with the absence of manufacturing, sustainable and inclusive growth will be unattainable in Africa (Zamfir, 2016; Page, 2011; Gui-Diby and Renard, 2015; World Bank, 2014; Africa Growth Initiative, 2016; Opokua and Boachieb, 2020). Recently, African policymakers have responded to the growth-exclusiveness outcomes by establishing structural transformation basics. Thus, today we can see several initiatives have been emerged to support the importance of creating a fundamental change in the structure of the Africa economy (UNCTAD and UNIDO, 2011).

This Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Nonetheless, by shifting the economy's structure toward the industrial sector, structural transformation is a double-edged sword. It is well recognized that structural change is essential and preconditions for improving living standards and generating sustained growth. However, it is not sufficient to achieve sustainable development because such change is more likely to reflect high costs on ecological systems. The other countries' experience shows that the transformation from an agriculture-based economy to an industrial one is associated with considerable destruction to the environment (Fischer-Kowalski and Haberl, 2007). That is to say, despite the importance of structural change and industrialization for job creation and poverty alleviation; however, it also might create undesirable consequences on the quality of the environment and hence sustainable development. In this respect, Stern (2009) argued that due to climate change disasters and rising temperatures, achieving sustainable development is challenging.

Given the significance of industrialization in accomplishing sustainable development goals, on the one hand, and the potential negative impact of automation on such goals, on the other hand, it is imperative to explore the consequence of industrialization on the environmental quality of developing countries in Africa. Although numerous empirical studies tried to explain the critical factors determining a group of African countries' ecological systems, industrialization's potential and explicit role in explaining this phenomenon has been ignored(will be discussed in the next section). To the best of our knowledge, only two studies by Lin et al. (2016) and Opokua and Boachieb (2020) addressed this matter straightforwardly for a group of African economies. The present study utilized the panel cointegration technique for 20 lowincome economies in Sub Sharhan Africa (SSA) over the period 1980-2018 to explore the industrialization process's influence on the environment quality. More specifically, this study's main objectives are first; to analyze the EKC's validity in low-income countries in Sub Sharah Africa using an extended version of the IPAT version. The secondary objectives comprise identifying the key factors that affect the quality of the environment in Africa by utilizing appropriate techniques such as panel cointegration, Fully Modified OLS(FMOLS), and Dynamic OLS (DOLS) techniques.

This article aims to discover the experience of low-income countries in SSA with this matter, and it adds to the present works in three significant ways. Firstly, as we said, since so far, only two studies accounted for the role of industrialization in explaining environmental quality in Africa, the present study will add a new contribution to the field and open the door for further studies. Second, instead of dealing with African countries as a homogenous group, as Lin et al. (2016) and Opokua and and Boachieb (2020), the present study will limit the analysis to the low-income countries the continent. As per the World Bank (2020) classification, the 53 economies in the continent are classified into 23 low income,21 Lower middle income, 6 Upper median income, and the remaining three as high income. It is well recognized that the structure of the economy and the level of development vary across countries. Thus, as UNCTAD (2012) suggested, the challenge of attaining sustainable development is different in economies at varying stages of development. Thirdly, the current study applies the most modern and suitable long-run panel techniques in the field of panel cointegration procedures offered by Pedroni (1999). For robustness checking, the current study utilized two indicators for the degree of environmental quality, namely, CO2 and nitrous dioxide emissions, and two analysis techniques, which are FMOLS besides DOLS. Besides, we also consider the influence of trade and FDI within the environmental quality -industrialization nexus. This study's outcomes are essential for these countries' policymakers in their current efforts to achieve, in a simultaneous way, structure transformation and social and environmental sustainability. In the subsequent section, related empirical literature will be summarized. The data, estimation technique, and methodology procedures are displayed in Section 3. The obtained results will be highlighted and discussed in Section 4. The final section includes the conclusion of the study in addition to policy implications and recommendations.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Following the influential work of Grossman and Krueger (1991), empirical analyses on the influence of various human actions and behavior on the environment's quality are growing extensively. However, most of these studies focused on developed counties experiences and ignored that of emerging economies. Despite these growing studies, the relationship between growth in per capita GDP and environmental pollution remains complicated. Indeed, the EKC suggests some demonstrative instruments for shedding light on the interrelationship between economic activities and their environmental quality consequence. The EKC indicates that in the first stage of development, the per capita income increases will be associated with deterioration in the environment at an increasing rate. However, over time and once the economy moves to a relatively high development level, there will be a gradual improvement in the environment. Grossman (1995) interpreted the inverted 'U-shaped' form in the EKC hypothesis through the three effects, which are scale, composition, and technology influences. The scale consequence denotes that there will be a massive demand for all resources in general and natural resources, particularly at the beginning of the development process journey. The direct and indirect utilization of natural resources will be converted into the production of various manufactured products. At this stage, the economy is expected to witness a considerable amount of industrial waste that creates significant damage to the environment. Second, to sustain and boost per capita GDP growth, policymakers neglect the deterioration in environmental quality. The whole ecological degradation begins to spread with a rise in the production process (per capita GDP growth). However, with continuous increases in the per capita income, the industrial component of an economy starts experiencing a transformation, and thus, the composition of an economy begins altering. However, once the economy reaches a specific level of per capita income during this stage, the public and policymakers' attention will shift towards a clean environment. Therefore, the emerging industrial sector has to adopt more friendlyenvironment tools and equipment in the production process. This is once the industries sectors begin to integrate technologies for expanding energy efficiency, and thus less and less damage to the environment will occur.

The growing empirical results regarding the growth-environment nexus have yielded mixed results. Besides, most of these studies

are focused on advanced economies; thus, their outcomes are not consistent and untrustworthy with poor developing countries (Carson, 2010; Stern, 2003). Likewise, even the few empirical studies related to Africa derived mixed outcomes, which creates a challenge for leaders since it will manifest dissimilar policy consequences. The inconsistency of the findings was attributed to various factors including, model specifications(linear, quadratic, and Cubic), environment measurement, the additional explanatory variables that included, and the method of estimation employed, which depends on the structure of the data (time series/panel, cross-section). Likewise, the mixed outcomes were attributed to geographic location and the chosen period of the study. According to Wagner (2008), numerous critical econometric drawbacks have been neglected in previous studies related to the environmental Kuznets curve. Recently, Katz (2015) analyzed the correlation between freshwater use and income growth, and he discovered that the finding is substantially dependent on selecting datasets and employed econometric methods. This is why, even for similar economies or panels of economies, the obtained results are mixed (Shahbaz and Sinha, 2020).

In the present study, since previous empirical work in this matter is significantly tremendous, we will limit the review on the empirical studies on EKC that focused on the Africa continent only<sup>1</sup>. More specifically, in reviewing previous studies in Africa, we divided these studies into two groups, a single country-oriented analysis and a group of countries-oriented research. Second, we will review empirical studies, regardless of the location of the country/countries covered, that incorporated, in an implicit way, industrialization as one of the critical explanations for environmental quality. This work will be classified into two groups; the first group comprises studies using several versions from the decomposition techniques. The second group contains studies that incorporated a proxy for industrialization variables in a linear, quadratic, or cubic form.

Due to the unavailability of sufficient time-series data for most African countries, most of the studies, as mentioned earlier, are cross-section or panel data. However, recently and with the relative improvement in the data collection, some singles based studies started to emerge. For instance, Kohler (2013) analyzes EKC's validity for South Africa during 1960-2009 using the ARDL technique. The results of the quadratic specification detected the existence of inverted U- Shaped. Moreover, Shahbaz et al. (2013) examine the validity of EKC hypotheses for South Africa during 1960-2008 using the ARDL technique. The author implemented two specifications, linear and quadratic. While the linear specification results show monotonically increasing, the results detected the exitance of an inverted U-shaped quadratic one. Besides, Nasr et al. (2015) examine the validity of EKC hypotheses for South Africa (1911-2010) by utilizing the ECK's Cubic form. The results of the Co-summability technique show inverted N-shaped. Likewise, Farhani et al. (2014a) inspected the strength of EKC hypotheses for Tunisia during 1971-2008) using the ECK's quadratic form. The results of the ARDL method show existence of inverted U-shaped.

Similarly, Kivyiro and Arminen (2014) examine the validity of EKC hypotheses for 6 Sub-Saharan countries during 1971-2010 using the quadratic specification. The findings show that while inverted U-shaped is verified in three economies, no evidence of EKC hypotheses is revealed in the remaining three countries. Moreover, Shahbaz et al. (2015) explore the validity of EKC hypotheses for 13 African countries (1980-2012) by applying the ECK's quadratic specification. The results of the Johansen Cointegration method show mixed findings across these countries. Namely, the EKC shape is confirmed as inverted U, U-shaped, monotonically increasing, and no EKC in some countries.

Regarding cross-countries studies, Farhani and Shahbaz (2014) inspect the validity of the EKC hypotheses for 10 MENA economies during 1980-2009 using the quadratic specification. The results of both FMOLS, as well as DOLS detected the existence of inverted U-shaped. Farhani et al. (2014b) reinvestigated the EKC hypotheses for 10 MENA economies during 1990-2010 by implementing a quadratic form. The results of both FMOLS and Panel DOLS confirm the presence of inverted U-shaped. Besides, Osabuohien et al. (2014) analyze the validity of EKC hypotheses for 50 African economies (1995-2010) through applying PDOLS on quadratic specification. The results show the presence of an inverted U-shaped. Likewise, Oshin and Ogundipe (2014) examine the strength of the EKC hypotheses for 15 West African countries (1980-2012) using the quadratic specification. The author applies three methods of estimations, pooled OLS, Random effect, and fixed effect. Interestingly, the study revealed that the EKC hypotheses' validity depends mainly on the estimates' technique.

Similarly, Jebli et al. (2015) examine EKC postulations' strength for 24 Sub-Saharan Africa economies during the 1980-2010 period by applying EKC's quadratic form. The results of both OLS and FMOLS confirm the existence of U shape. Besides, Zoundi (2017) inspects EKC's validity for 25 African economies during 1980-2012 via EKC's quadratic form. The author implements five different estimation methods: DOLS, System GMM, Dynamic Fixed Effect, MG, and PMG. While the results of GMM confirm the presence of U-shaped, the remaining methods fail to detect any form of EKC. Using the STIRPAT framework, Awad and Abougamos (2017a) examine the validity of EKC hypotheses of 54 economies in Africa during 1980–2014. The results show evidence that supports the presence of an inverted-U shaped. Within the Stochastic Impacts by Regression on Population, Affluence, and Technology (STIRPAT) framework, Awad and Abougamos (2017b) examine the validity of EKC hypotheses in 20 countries in the MENA region during 1980-2014. Using panel data and a semi-parametric panel fixed effects regression, the results show evidence supports an inverted-U-shaped presence. Likewise, Awad and Warsame (2017) inspect the validity of EKC hypotheses for 54 economies in Africa during 1990-2014. The study fails to find any evidence that supports the EKC hypothesis.

Concerning preceding empirical studies that addressed industrialization's influence on the environment quality, as we mentioned previously, we classified these studies into two groups. The first group comprises studies that used several versions of the decomposition techniques. The second group contains studies that

<sup>1</sup> For comprehensive and recent literature survey in this matter, see Shahbaz and Sinha, 2020).

incorporated a proxy for industrialization variables in a linear, quadratic, or cubic specification of the EKC. Several version forms of the decomposition technique were employed in most of these studies. For instance, AkbostancI et al. (2008) tried to identify the source of the CO2 emissions of the Turkish manufacturing sector during 1995-2001. The Log Mean Divisia Index (LMDI) method was utilized to decompose the variations in the CO2 emissions of the manufacturing industry into five elements; changes in activity, activity structure, sectoral energy intensity, sectoral energy mix, and emission factors. The results demonstrated that the chief sources of the variation in CO2 emissions were total industrial activity and energy intensity. Likewise, Tunc et al. (2009) tried to recognize the factors contributing to changes in CO2 emissions for the Turkish economy during 1970-2006 via utilizing the LMDI method. The result shows that the primary sources of CO2 emissions are economic activity. Roinioti and Koroneos (2017) and Khan et al. (2019) arrive at a similar finding for the case of Greece and Pakistan economy, respectively. Likewise, Cherniwchan (2012) examines the role of observed industrialization on the environmental quality for 157 economies over 1970-2000. The results demonstrate that the process of manufacturing is a substantial determinant of observed changes in emissions. Lu et al. (2015) arrive at a similar finding for the case of Jiangsu, the Chinese province. Likewise, ChunXu et al. (2016) analyzes carbon emissions due to energy consumption based on China's sectors from 1996 to 2014 by utilizing the LMDI method. One more time, the carbon emissions were decomposed into four categories: energy structure, energy intensity, economic structure, and economic output effect. The results detected that the chief factor driving carbon emissions was the economic output, and the industry sector was the top contributor to carbon emissions.

Concerning the second group of the studies, few studies incorporated a proxy for industrialization in linear, quadratic, or cubic specification. For instance, Xu and Lin (2015) examine automation and urbanization's role in explaining CO2 emissions for provincial panel data in China from 1990 to 2011. An inverted U-shaped nonlinear relationship has been confirmed between industrialization and CO2 emissions. Besides, Lin et al. (2016) utilize the STIRPAT framework and panel cointegration for five African economies from 1980 to 2011. The authors decompose growth into agricultural-based growth and industrial-based growth. The FMOLS technique's results failed to identify any significant relationship between CO2 emissions and agriculturalbased development or industrial-based growth. Also, Dogan and Inglesi-Lotz (2020) tried to inspect the economic structure's impact on seven European countries' environmental quality from 1980 to 2014. The FMOLS results show the U-shaped relationship between industrialization and growth in these countries. Likewise, Ha Le (2020) examined the impact of several factors on greenhouse gas emissions for a sample of 16 economies in South and East Asia during 1995-2012. The author employs four types of emission: GHG, CO2, CH4, and N2O, and utilizes two estimations; Prais-Winsten regression with Panel corrected standard error (PCSE) and Feasible General OLS (FGOLS). The results show that the influence of industrialization on the environment depends on environmental measurement. More specifically, while industrialization activities tend to harm the CO2, its effect on the remaining three environmental measures is favorable.

Likewise, Opokua and Boachieb (2020) examined industrialization's environmental impact in 36 selected African economies during 1980-2014. Using various measures for the environment quality, the Pooled Mean Group (PMG) technique indicates the insignificant impact of industrialization on the environment depend on utilized measurement for the environment. Namely, the results show that manufacturing has a statistically negligible consequence on all pollution measurements except for nitrous oxide emissions that appear adversely affected by industrialization. From the reviewed literature, it is clear that there is a lack of consensus over the relevance of the EKC to the continent in general and the impact of the structural transformation. Most importantly, the previous studies' review confirms the lack of sufficient empirical research that accounted for industrialization's expected role in explaining the critical determinants of the environmental quality for the developing countries in Africa. As we said previously, the challenge of accomplishing sustainable development is different in countries at varying development levels.

# 3. METHODOLOGY

## 3.1. Model, Variables, and Data

This section aims to illustrate the model, data, and framework utilized to build the empirical analysis of industrialization's environmental quality impact. To display the theoretical links among manufacturing, income per capita, and environmental quality, we firstly specified the quality of the environmental (EQ) as a function of industrialization (IND) and real per capita GDP (Y) and its square  $(Y^2)$  as shown in the general form below:

$$EQ = F(IND, Y, Y^2) \tag{1}$$

Equation 1 demonstrates the fundamental role of economic growth in affecting the environment's status; thus, the EKC was combined into our investigation. It was crucial to select an appropriate measure for the quality of the environment as it was a vital factor in this study's interpretation. The ecological consequence of industrialization could take various types of pollution. In the present study, we employed two environmental quality measures following preceding studies: CO2 and nitrous oxide emissions. The utilization of these two indicators because the first, although data related to the environmental quality, is massive; however, for poor countries in Africa and during the study period, data are available for only these two variables. Second, using more than one indicator provides the sound of robustness for the analysis. Following the recent empirical research on the environmental quality, we added to Equation 1 an additional three explanatory variables that may contribute directly to the ecological quality or indirectly through its impact on industrialization. The variable that contributed directedly is population growth, as hypothesized in the IPAT framework (Rosa and Dietz, 2012; Chertow, 2000). The second two variables that indirectly contribute are a foreign direct investment, as hypothesized in the pollution haven hypothesis and Halo effect hypothesis (Copeland, 2005; Eskeland and Harrison, 2003; Temurshoev, 2006), and trade as postulated in the Porter hypothesis (Porter and Van Der Linde, 1995; Ren et al., 2014; Seker et al., 2015; Zhang and Zhou, 2016; Sapkota and Bastola, 2017). After adding these variables, Equation 1 can be shown as follows

$$EQ = f(IND, Y, Y^2, P, T, FDI)$$
 (2)

Where P refers to population, T for trade, and FDI for foreign direct investment. The log liner specification for EQ 2 can be write down as follows: -

$$logEQ_{it} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 logIND_{it} + \alpha_3 logY_{it} + \alpha_4 logY_{it}^2 + \alpha_5 logP_{it} + \alpha_6 T_{it} + \alpha_7 FDI_{it} + \delta_i t$$
(3)

Here, EQ signifies the CO2 emissions (kt), i denotes the country (19 economies), and t signifies time (1980-2018). For robustness, as we said previously, Equation 3 was re-estimated employing an alternative air pollution measure, which is nitrous dioxide emissions; IND is Industry, value added (2010 US\$). GDP (Y) is the GDP per capita (US\$ 2010). P is the total population, and T is the trade-in terms of exports plus imports scaled by the GDP. FDI is the foreign direct investment as a percentage of GDP. Except for trade and FDI variables, all the remaining variables have been converted into the natural logarithmic form (Shahbaz et al., 2013a). Statistical features for the data are presented in Table 1. Data related to entire variables are gathered from the World Development Indicators. The data covered 20 African economies (see the list of these economies in Appendix A1) for 1980-2018. Variables measurement and definition are displayed in Table A2 in the appendix.

The results of the correlation matrix, as shown in Table 1, reflect a relatively high correlation between the variable of interest, which is the industry, with each pollution measurement (LCO2 and LNIT). However, this outcome is not robust because, as we know, the correlation is different from causation.

#### 3.2. Estimation Approaches

This section seeks to explain the stages that will be implemented toward the study's objective. As per previous empirical works that deal with panel data, we have to test the data's statistical features to construct the cross-sectional dependence test. In the second step, which depends on the first step's outcomes, we perform the unit root test, followed by specific panel cointegration testing. In the final step, if we identify a long relationship between the variables, we completed the long-run analysis by utilizing the FOMOLS and the DOLS. According to Shahbaz et al. (2017), unobserved frequent shocks that become an essential component of the error terms(ET) will lead to the presence of cross-sectional dependence in crosscountries data. Ignoring this test and procedure in the examination may lead to unreliable ET of the estimated coefficients (Driscoll and Kraay, 2001). In the present study and following previous work in this field and for robustness purposes, we will implement four different types of cross-sectional dependence tests. Once we perform the cross-sectional dependence tests, the next step is to examine the integration between the variables via panel unit root tests. Since several unit root test is available, selecting the specific unit root test depends mainly on the first step(i.e., cross-sectional dependence). If the unit root results show the nonexistence of

**Table 1: Correlation matrix** 

	LCO2	LNIT	LP	LY	T	FDI	LIND
LCO2	1	0.62	0.68	0.38	0.28	0.28	0.71
LNIT	0.62	1	0.75	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.78
LP	0.68	0.75	1	-0.16	-0.03	0.23	0.83
LYY	0.38	0.15	-0.16	1	0.32	0.06	0.27
T	0.28	0.12	-0.03	0.32	1	0.38	0.05
FDI	0.28	0.13	0.23	0.06	0.38	1	0.22
LIND	0.71	0.78	0.83	0.27	0.05	0.22	1

Source: Author calculation

Table 2: Cross-sectional dependence result

Factors	BP	PS	BCS	CD
LCO2	2999.81a	144.14 <sup>a</sup>	143.85a	46.57a
LNIT	11051.58a	220.16	219.35a	81.70a
LIND	2401.35a	113.54a	113.13 <sup>a</sup>	28.81a
LY	2785.65a	133.65a	132.85a	14.28a
T	960.07a	39.56a	39.24ª	15.39a
LP	7232.45a	361.64ª	360.64a	85.03a
FDI	1158.212ª	49.66ª	49.41a	27.85a

Source: Author calculation. A signifies significance at the 1% level

integration at order two *I*(2), we have to move to the third step, the panel cointegration tests. If the test results show cointegration evidence between the selected variables, we move to the final step to perform our principal analysis and get our key objectives. The common and traditional estimation technique of panel data such as random effects, fixed effects, and GMM may manifest ambiguous and untrustworthy coefficients if employed on cointegrated panel data (Awad, 2019; Shahbaz et al., 2017). Besides, there is a possibility of an endogeneity problem in our EQ2 that might due to either omitted variables and reverse causality. On the one hand, some of the control variables may have been overlooked in EQ2. Therefore, our findings are most likely to be biased if the omitted variables are associated with the industrialization variable.

On the other hand, it is also possible that the environment quality will influence industrialization, reflecting reverse causality. EQ2 has been estimated to overcome these problems using two techniques: Fully Modified Ordinary Least Squares (FMOLS) Dynamic Ordinary Least Squares. (DOLS). Pedroni has developed these two techniques (2000, 2001) that are commonly used in the literature. It is well recognized that panel DOLS and FMOLS techniques reduce the endogeneity and autocorrelation between independent variables and ET, thus producing efficient results. For this reason, we follow the panel FMOLS and DOLS methods whose basic procedures are given in Eqs. (4) and (5), where A/EQ refer to explanatory/dependent variable in Eq. (3).

$$\beta_{fmols}^{\hat{}} = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} (A_{it} - \overline{A}_{i})^{2} \right) \right] \times \left[ \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} (A_{it} - \overline{A}_{i}) \widehat{EQ}_{it} - T \hat{\Delta}_{it} \right) \right]$$

$$(4)$$

$$\beta_{dols}^{\hat{}} = \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} A_{it} A_{it}^{\hat{}} \right) \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} A_{it} E Q_{it} \right) \right]$$
(5)

Whether the FMOLS or DOLS method is favored, the empirical evidence is conflicting (Harris and Sollis, 2003). On the one hand,

Table 3: The results of the panel root test

Variables		Levin, Lin and Chu			CADF-Fisher Chi-square test			
	level		Difference		level		Difference	
	C	C + T	C	C + T	C	C + T	C	C + T
LCO2	5.21 (0.000)	1.50 (0.93)	-7.95 (0.000)	-7.30 (0.000)	7.33 (1.000)	2.72 (0.99)	-10.94 (0.000)	-10.08 (0.000)
LNIT	1.37 (0.93)	0.48 (0.68)	-10.11 (0.000)	-7.42(0.000)	2.00 (0.79)	-0.65(0.48)	-16.21 (0.000)	-14.56(0.000)
LIND	1.65 (0.96)	-0.07(0.48)	-8.18(0.000)	-7.81(0.000)	5.16 (1.000)	0.85 (0.81)	-8.50(0.000)	-6.03(0.000)
LY	2.04 (0.97)	-1.98(0.023)	-17.85(0.000)	-17.22(0.000)	3.46 (1.000)	-0.15(0.84)	-19.95(0.000)	-18.20(0.000)
T	-2.75(0.002)	-4.07(0.000)	-22.37(0.000)	-20.87(0.000)	-2.77(0.003)	-3.56(0.000)	-21.91(0.000)	-16.98(0.000)
LP	-1.84(0.03)	6.41 (1.000)	1.24 (0.89)	8.70 (1.000)	4.82 (1.000)	-3.24(0.002)	-4.90 (0.000)	-5.80(0.000)
FDI	-3.81 (0.000)	-6.31 (0.000)	-27.36 (0.000)	-23.64 (0.000)	-3.90 (0.000)	-6.99 (0.000)	-28.63 (0.000)	-26.35 (0.000)

Source: Author calculation. \* \*\*indicates significance at the 1%.

the FMOLS method and by default overcome the autocorrelation issue, but it is non-parametric. On the other hand, although the DOLS method remains a parametric test, its powerlessness rests in the degree of freedom matter due to leads and lags (Maeso-Fernandez et al., 2006).

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 represents the results of the cross-sectional independence tests. The products detect the existence of cross-sectional dependency for each selected variable.

We carry on by carrying out panel unit root tests that take into account the dependency in our cross-sectional. The LLC statistic of Levin et al. (2002) and the CADF statistic of Pesaran (2007) are the two tests that consider such dependency (Awad, 2019). The results of these tests are reported in Table 3. The results indicate that all the variables are I(1). This finding implies that emissions measurement, industrialization, economic growth, population, trade, and FDI have a unique integration order for each panel.

## CADF-Fisher Chi-square test

Therefore, and for each panel, we inspected the cointegration relationship between the variables. The Pedroni (1999, 2004) panel cointegration tests are displayed in Table 4. The results suggest that out of the seven Pedroni tests, five statistics confirmed the existence of cointegration in each specification. However, as Pedroni (1999) proposed, Panel ADF and Group ADF are the leading statistics for small samples. In other words, if the results are controversial, as in our case, the Panel ADF and Group ADF statistics could be the benchmark. Consequently, based on the ADF and group ADF results, we can conclude that the long relationship is confirmed for each specification.

Table 5 reports the estimated long-run coefficients from the FMOLS and the DOLS approach. Prior to discussing the findings, we verified the possible multicollinearity problem between the variables in each model. Tables A3 and A4 in the appendix show the Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) test implemented in each description. The results show that of such a problem in our analysis<sup>2</sup>. Now is the time to move forward and to look for the FMOLS and DOLS outcomes.

Table 4: Pedroni residual cointegration test

Value Value Value Value	
A 14 4	
Alternative	
hypothesis:	
common AR coefs.	
(within-dimension)	
Panel v-Statistic 0.55 –2.54*** –1.84 –3.88**	**
Panel rho-Statistic 0.53 1.40 1.01 -0.86	
Panel PP-Statistic -2.05** -2.89*** -4.25*** -10.73**	
Panel ADF-Statistic -2.88*** -3.99*** -4.25*** -9.88**	**
Statistic Statistic	
Alternative	
hypothesis:	
individual AR coefs.	
(between-dimension)	
Group rho-Statistic 2.38 1.56	
Group PP-Statistic –3.01*** –10.69***	
Group ADF-Statistic -3.38*** -7.05***	

Source: Author calculation. \*\*\*, \*\* indicates rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration at the 1% and 5%, respectively

The results of both FMOLS and DOLS are identical, which indicates the robustness of our analysis. The results tell us that our primary variable of interest, which is the industry, has a statistically insignificant impact on the two emitted pollutants' two measures.

The negligible effect of industrialization on the environment could be due to the region's low industrial activity level. Indeed, aggregate data on the industry value add in Sub-Saharan Africa show a decreasing trend over time. For instance, while both Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) and South Asia (SA) have the same rate of growth in the industry value added as per 2000(10%), by 2017, SA registered a growth rate of 24% and for SSA remain below 10%. As we mentioned previously, unlike the experience of other regions, in Africa, the economy jumps directly from agriculture to informal economic activities in the service sector. According to Opoku and Yan (2019), the industrial sector's contribution to Africa's growth is either low or non-existent. Likewise, according to Gui-Diby and Renard (2015), industrialization has not yet occurred in Africa.

Similarly, the Africa Growth Initiative (2016) has explained that Africa's industrial improvement and drive have been lagged for more than 40 years. According to Zamfir (2016), Africa's share in global manufacturing is tiny. This study's outcome seems, and to some extent, consistent with previous studies that addressed this matter in Africa, namely the work by Lin et al. (2016) and Opokua and Boachieb (2020). Lin et al. (2016) use the exact estimation

We tested the potential collinearity problems amongst the regressors by using the Coefficient Variance Decomposition (CVD) test. The results, which are not reported here, show the nonexistenceof any collinearity problem in our reults.

Table 5: FMOLS and DOLS technique

<b>Explanatory variables</b>	LC	O2	LI	NT
	FMOLS	DOLS	FMOLS	DOLS
LIND	0.012 (0.84)	0.13 (0.27)	0.001 (0.99)	0.094 (0.94)
LY	-5.98** (0.014)	-7.53** (0.05)	-7.67 <b>**</b> (0.02)	-6.25** (0.03)
LY2	0.56*** (0.005)	0.72** (0.04)	0.64** (0.02)	0.53** (0.03)
LP	1.11*** (0.000)	0.97*** (0.000)	0.57*** (0.000)	0.57*** (0.000)
T	0.001 (0.50)	0.0007 (0.80)	0.003 (0.15)	0.002 (0.17)
FDI	0.002 (0.72)	0.003 (0.83)	0.01 (0.11)	0.009 (0.94)

Source: Author calculation. The P values are in ( ) \*\* and \*\*\*denotes significance at the 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively.

**Table 6: Descriptive statistic** 

	LCO2	LNIT	LP	LYY	Т	FDI	LIND
Mean	6.776232	8.199746	16.05668	6.132878	50.28451	1.978924	20.35469
Median	6.743951	8.154916	15.99539	6.160502	47.96138	0.815095	20.38805
Maximum	9.163794	11.91689	18.34517	6.963822	108.8148	34.46370	23.07601
Minimum	4.988253	5.880378	13.99892	5.299806	19.68416	-28.62426	17.91588
Std. Dev.	0.818717	1.242315	0.807418	0.360884	17.52620	3.981784	1.088331
NO of Obs	456	456	456	456	456	456	456

Source: Author calculation

(FMOLS) and arrive at the same conclusion on the insignificant impact of industrialization on environment quality for five African countries. Our finding is also consistent with the outcome of Opokua and Boachieb's (2020) result when CO2 is utilized but differs when environmental quality is proxied by nitrous dioxide emissions.

Concerning the impact of per capita GDP, and it is a quadratic term, the results show that while per capita GDP is negative and statistically significant, its quadratic term appears positive and statistically significant. This suggests the presence of a "U"shaped relationship between the two environmental measurements and income in the low-income economies in Africa. Following Hasanov et al. (2019), to confirm that the results are consistent with reality, we calculated the turning point using both estimation methods' average results. The estimated turning point value is approximately equal to 5.5. This turning point value is lower than the whole countries' average income in our study (Table 6). This finding implies that for poor countries in Africa, it is expected that the growth process will continue to generate more damage to the environment as long as per capita income below the computed turning point. However, once this group of countries moves beyond that average, the growth process will generate minor damage to the environment. Our findings are consistent and contradict studies that were reviewed previously within the Africa context.

The results indicate that the population is a leading and significant driver for the selected countries' emissions. As proposed by the STIRPAT framework, population growth is a considerable factor driving environmental problems comprising climate change. The increase in the population can cause damage to the environment in several ways. The pressure on the limited land resources will force the society to either destroy imperative forest resources or overexploitation arable land. Likewise, natural resources and climate are expected to be affected negatively due to population growth that will reflect more production and consumption. Numerous analyses have been conducted on the potential influences of the population on the environment (Lin et al., 2015; Ray and Ray, 2011). Finally, both specifications

indicate that neither the pollution haven hypothesis and the Halo effect hypothesis nor Porter hypothesis is valid for developing countries in Africa.

# 5. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The leaders in Africa have implemented several types of strategies to improve living standards and achieve sustainable growth. Although most of these countries witnessed and, to some extent, positive growth in per capita GDP, the poverty rate and the unemployment rate started to increase and expand. This led to a significant shift in the policymakers' mindset in the continent to implement a new strategy to allocate resources toward a more inclusive growth pattern. The structural transformation of the economy from agriculture, a and raw material-based economy, to a more industrialized economy, has been recognized as an essential tool in this strategy. However, evidence and the experience of the other countries show that industrialization is associated with environmental damage. Thus, it seems that there is a trade-off between automation and ecological quality.

The present study employed panel data techniques to investigate the potential impact of industrialization on the environment quality for 19 developing countries in Sub-Saharan Africa during 1980-2018. The present study employed two indicators of environmental quality as well as the method of estimation. More specifically, for environmental quality, the current studies used CO2 and nitrous dioxide emissions. Besides, the FMOLS, as well as the DOLS, was utilized in the analysis. The results seem to bring good news for the developing countries in Africa since no significant impact for the industrialization of the environment quality has been detected. This finding implies that current observed efforts in the industrialization process should continue without considering it has a potentially adverse impact on these countries' environment. The environmental issue should be handled through topics related to population behavior.

This study's results are considerable and provide imperative policy implications for the countries inspected in the panels and regional economic blocks, and environmental organizations. Our results also crucial for future studies, as it is expected that our research may open additional research directions. Other studies are still required for in-depth analysis and investigation for this matter. Future studies may, for example, with the Africa context, compare the outcome of the industrialization on the environment between this group of countries (low income) and other groups such as the middle-income group. Likewise, future studies may address the same issue by looking for low-income countries' experiences in different regions. Similarly, further studies may employ an alternative proxy for industrialization or add more explanatory variables or another specification.

## REFERENCES

- African Development Bank. (2015), AfDB Group's Long Term Strategy. Available from: http://www.afdb.org/en/consultations/closedconsultations/afdb-groups-long-term-strategy [Last accessed on 2020 Jun 01].
- African Transformation Report. (2014), African Transformation Report: Growth with Depth. "Available from: http://africantransformation.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/2014-african-transformation-report.pdf [Last accessed on 2020 Jun 06].
- African Union's Agenda 2063. (2015), Agenda 2063 Vision and Priorities. Available from: http://agenda2063.au.int/en/vision [Last accessed on 2020 Jun 01].
- Akbostanci, E., Türüt-Asik, S., Ipek. T.G. (2009), The relationship between income and environment in Turkey: Is there an environmental Kuznets Curve? *Enpol Engineering*, 37, 861-867.
- Awad, A. (2019), Does Economic integration damage or benefit the environment? Africa's experience. Energy Policy, 132, 991-999.
- Awad, A., Hoda, A. (2017b), Income-carbon emissions nexus for MENA countries: A semi-parametric approach. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 7(2), 152-159.
- Awad, A., Hoda, R.)2017a), A semi-parametric panel data analysis on the urbanization-carbon emissions nexus for MENA countries. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 78, 1350-1356.
- Awad, A., Warsam, M. (2017), Climate changes in Africa: Does economic growth matter? A semi-parametric approach. International Journal of Energy, Environment, and Economics, 7(1), 1-8.
- Carson, R.T. (2010), The environmental Kuznets Curve: Seeking empirical regularity and theoretical structure. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 4, 3-23.
- Cherniwchan, J. (2012), Economic growth, industrialization, and the environment. Resource and Energy Economics, 34(4), 442-467.
- Chertow, M.R. (2000), The IPAT equation and its variants. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 4, 13-29.
- Copeland, B.R. (2005), Policy endogeneity and the effects of trade on the environment. Agricultural and Resource Economics Review, 34(1), 1-15.
- Dogan, E., Inglesi-Lotz, R. (2020), The impact of economic structure to the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis: Evidence from European countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27, 12717-12724.
- Driscoll, D., Kraay, A. (2001), Trade, Growth, and Poverty. The World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, No. 2615. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Eskeland, G.S., Harrison, A.E. (2003), Moving to greener pastures?

- Multinationals and the pollution haven hypothesis. Journal of Development Economics, 70(1), 1-23.
- Farhani, S., Chaibi, A., Rault, C. (2014a), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, output, energy consumption, and trade in Tunisia. Economic Modelling, 38, 426-434.
- Farhani, S., Mrizak, S., Chaibi, A., Rault, C. (2014b), The environmental Kuznets Curve and sustainability: A panel data analysis. Energy Policy, 71, 189-198.
- Farhani, S., Shahbaz, M. (2014), What role of renewable and non-renewable electricity consumption and output is needed to initially mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in MENA region? Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 40, 80-90.
- Fischer-Kowalski, M., Amann, C. (2011), Beyond IPAT and Kuznets Curves: Globalisation as a vital factor in analysing the environmental impact of socio-economic metabolism. Population and Environment, 23,7-47.
- Grossman, G.M. (1995), Pollution and growth: What do we know? In: Goldin, I., Winters, L.A., editors. The Economics of Sustainable Development. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. p19-45.
- Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B. (1991), Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. National Bureau of Economic Research. Working Paper No. w3914.
- Gui-Diby, L., Renard, M.F. (2015), Foreign direct investment inflows and the industrialization of African countries. World Development, 74, 43-57.
- Ha Le, T. (2020), Drivers of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in South-East and East Asia: Evidence from Panel Data Analysis. In: Han, P., Hesar, F., Kimura, F., editors. Energy Sustainability and Development in ASEAN and East Asia. 1st ed. Milton Park, Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Hasanov, F., Mikayilov, J., Mukhtarov, S., Suleymanov, E. (2019), Does CO<sub>2</sub> emissions-economic growth relationship reveal EKC in developing countries? Evidence from Kazakhstan. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26, 30229-30241.
- IMF. (2013), Jobs and Growth: Analytical and Operational Considerations for the Fund. Available from: https://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/ eng/2013/031413.pdf [Last accessed 2020 Jun 03].
- Jebli, M.B., Youssef, S.B., Ozturk, I. (2015), The role of renewable energy consumption and trade: Environmental Kuznets Curve analysis for Sub-Saharan Africa Countries. African Development Review, 27(3), 288-300.
- Katz, D. (2015), Water use and economic growth: Reconsidering the environmental Kuznets Curve relationship. Journal of Cleaner Production, 88, 205-213.
- Khan, A., Jamil, F., Huma, N. (2019), Decomposition analysis of carbon dioxide emissions in Pakistan. SN Applied Sciences, 1, 1012.
- Kivyiro, P., Arminen, H. (2014), Carbon dioxide emissions, energy consumption, economic growth, and foreign direct investment: Causality analysis for Sub-Saharan Africa. Energy, 15(74), 595-606.
- Kohler, M. (2013),  ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions, energy consumption, income and foreign trade: A South African perspective. Energy Policy, 63, 1042-1050.
- Kuznets, S. (1966), Modern Economic Growth. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Lean, H.H., Smyth, R. (2010), C<sub>o</sub>2 emissions, electricity consumption and output in ASEAN. Applied Energy, 87(6), 1858-1864.
- Levin, A., Lin, C., Chu, C.J. (2002), Unit root test in panel data: Asymptotic and finite sample properties. Journal of Economics, 108, 1-24.
- Lin, B., Omoju, O, N., Okonkwo, J., Megbowon, E. (2016) Is the environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis a sound basis for environmental policy in Africa? Journal of Cleaner Production,

- 133(1), 712-724.
- Maeso-Fernandez, F., Osbat, C., and Schnatz, B. (2006), Towards the Estimation of Equilibrium Exchange Rates for Transition Economies: Methodological Issues and a Panel Cointegration Perspective, Journal of Comparative Economics, 34, 499-517.
- Nasr, A.B., Gupta, R., Sato, J.R. (2015), Is there an Environmental Kuznets Curve for South Africa? A co-summability approach using a century of data. Energy Economics, 52, 136-141.
- Opokua, E., Boachieb, M. (2020) The environmental impact of industrialization and foreign direct investment. Energy Policy, 137, 111178.
- Osabuohien, E.S., Efobi, U.R., Gitau, C.M.W. (2014), Beyond the environmental Kuznets Curve in Africa: Evidence from panel cointegration. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 16(4), 517-538.
- Oshin, S., Ogundipe, A.A. (2014), An Empirical examination of environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) in West Africa. Euro-Asia Journal of Economics and Finance, 3(1), 16.
- Pedroni, P. (1999), Critical values for cointegration tests in heterogeneous panels with multiple regressors. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 61(S1), 653-670.
- Pedroni, P. (2000), Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegrated panels. Advances in Economics, 15, 93-130.
- Pedroni, P. (2004), Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. Economic Theory, 20, 597-625.
- Pesaran, M.H. (2007), A simple panel unit root test in the presence of cross-sectional dependence. Journal of Applied Economics, 27, 265-312.
- Porter, M., Van Der Linde, C. (1995), Green and Competitive: Ending the Stalemate. Brighton, Massachusetts: Harvard Business Review. p119-134.
- Ren, S., Yuan, B., Ma, X., Chen, X. (2014), International trade, FDI (foreign direct investment) and embodied CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A case study of Chinas industrial sectors. China Economic Review, 28, 123-134.
- Roinioti, A., Koroneos, C. (2017), The decomposition of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from energy use in Greece before and during the economic crisis and their decoupling from economic growth Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 76,448-459.
- Rosa, E.A., Dietz, T. (2012), Human drivers of national greenhouse-gas emissions. Nature Climate Change, 2, 581-586.
- Shahbaz, M., Nasreen, S., Ahmedd, K., Hammoudeh, S. (2017), Trade openness-carbon emissions nexus: The importance of turning points of trade openness for country panels. Energy Economics, 61, 221-232.
- Shahbaz, M., Sinha, A. (2020), Environmental Kuznets Curve for CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: A literature survey. Journal of Economic Studies, 20(3),

- 317-339.
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S.A., Sbia, R., Bibi, S. (2015), Does energy intensity contribute to CO<sub>2</sub> 18 emissions? A trivariate analysis in selected African countries. Ecological Indicators, 50(19), 215-224.
- Shahbaz, M., Tiwari, A.K., Nasir, M., (2013), The effects of financial development, economic growth, coal consumption, and trade openness on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in South Africa. Energy Policy, 61, 1452-1459.
- Stern, D.I. (2003), The Environmental Kuznets Curve. International Society of Ecological Economics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, United States.
- Temurshoev, U. (2006), Pollution Haven Hypothesis or Factor Endowment Hypothesis: Theory and Empirical Examination for the US and China. Available from: http://ideas.repec.org/p/cer/pape rs/wp292.html. http://www.cerge-ei.cz/pdf/wp/Wp292.pdf
- Tunc, G., Asık, S., Akbostanc, E. (2009), A decomposition analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from energy use: Turkish case. Energy Policy, 37, 4689-4699.
- UNCTAD. (2011), Technology and Innovation Report 2011: Powering Development with Renewable Energy Technologies. United Nations Publication. Sales No. E.11.II.D.20. New York, Geneva: UNCTAD.
- UNCTAD. (2012), Economic Development in Africa: Structural Transformation and Sustainable Development in Africa. Available from: http://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/aldcafrica2012\_ embargo\_en.pdf [Last accessed on 2020 Jun 05].
- UNIDO. (2011), Green Industry: Policies for Supporting Green Industry. Vienna: UNIDO.
- Wagner, M. (2008), The carbon Kuznets Curve: A cloudy picture emitted by bad econometrics? Resource and Energy Economics, 30(3), 388-408.
- World Bank. (2014), Africa's Pulse: Decades of Sustained Growth is Transforming Africa's Economies. Washington, DC: World Bank. Available from: http://www.worldbank.org/en/region/afr/publication/africas-pulsedecades-of-sustained-growth-is-transforming-africas-economies [Last accessed on 2020 Apr 15].
- Xu, B., Lin, B. (2015), How industrialization and urbanization process impacts on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China: Evidence from nonparametric additive regression models. Energy Economics, 48, 188-202.
- Xu, S.C., He, A.X., Long, R.Y., Chena, H. (2016), Factors that influence carbon emissions due to energy consumption based on different stages and sectors in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 115(1), 139-148.
- Zamfir, I. (2016), Africa's Economic Growth: Taking off or Slowing Down? Members' Research Service. Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research Services, European Parliament.
- Zoundi, Z. (2017), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, renewable energy and the Environmental Kuznets Curve, a panel 21 cointegration approach. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 72, 1067-1075.

# **APPENDIXES**

Table A1: List of the low-income Countries in Africa

Benin	Madagascar
Burundi	Malawi
Burkina Faso	Mali
Chad	Mozambique
Central African Republic	Niger
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Rwanda
Ethiopia	Sierra Leone
Gambia, The	Tanzania
Guinea	Togo
Guinea-Bissau	Uganda

Table A2: Variables Definition and measurement

Variable	Definition and measurement
CO <sub>2</sub> emission	Carbon dioxide emissions are those stemming from the burning of fossil fuels and the manufacture of cement. They include carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid, and gas fuels and gas flaring
Nitrous oxide emissions (thousand metric tons of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	Nitrous oxide emissions are emissions from agricultural biomass burning, industrial activities, and livestock management
Industry, value	Industry corresponds to ISIC divisions 10-45 and includes manufacturing (ISIC divisions 15-37). It comprises
added (constant	value added in mining, manufacturing (also reported as a separate subgroup), construction, electricity, water,
2010 US\$)	and gas. Value added is the net output of a sector after adding up all outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or depletion and degradation
	of natural resources. The origin of value added is determined by the International Standard Industrial
	Classification (ISIC), revision 3. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars
Population, total	The total population is based on the de facto definition of population, which counts all residents regardless of legal status or citizenship
GDP per capita	GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. GDP is the sum of gross value added
(constant 2010 US\$)	by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products.
Foreign direct	Foreign direct investment are the net inflows of investment to acquire a lasting management interest (10 percent
investment, net	or more of voting stock) in an enterprise operating in an economy other than that of the investor. It is the sum
inflows (% of GDP)	of equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short-term capital, as shown in the balance of payments. This series shows net inflows (new investment inflows less disinvestment) in the reporting economy from foreign investors and is divided by GDP
Trade (% of GDP)	Trade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product

Table A3: Variance inflation Factors, Dependent variable L CO2

Variable	Coefficient	Uncentered		
	Variance	VIF		
LP	0.020654	4.241493		
LYY	5.881338	695.0213		
T	3.06E-06	1.551459		
$LY^2$	0.039730	690.1430		
FDI	2.69E-05	1.610652		
LIND	0.008011	7.190250		

Source: Author calculation

Table A4: Variance inflation Factors, Dependent variable LNIT

Variable	Coefficient	Uncentered	
	Variance	VIF	
LP	0.040738	4.195345	
LYY	11.42899	671.9985	
T	5.42E-06	1.388022	
$LY^2$	0.077741	664.8192	
FDI	5.57E-05	1.571421	
LIND	0.016573	7.115771	

Source: Author calculation