DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Bagais, Omer; Aljaaidi, Khaled Salmen; Alothman, Abdulaziz

Article

An empirical investigation of the associations of short and long debt policies with economic values of energy sector

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

Provided in Cooperation with:

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

Reference: Bagais, Omer/Aljaaidi, Khaled Salmen et. al. (2021). An empirical investigation of the associations of short and long debt policies with economic values of energy sector. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 11 (1), S. 249 - 254. https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/10498/5585. doi:10.32479/ijeep.10498.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/8118

Kontakt/Contact ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: *rights[at]zbw.eu* https://www.zbw.eu/

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte. Alle auf diesem Vorblatt angegebenen Informationen einschließlich der Rechteinformationen (z.B. Nennung einer Creative Commons Lizenz) wurden automatisch generiert und müssen durch Nutzer:innen vor einer Nachnutzung sorgfältig überprüft werden. Die Lizenzangaben stammen aus Publikationsmetadaten und können Fehler oder Ungenauigkeiten enthalten.



https://savearchive.zbw.eu/termsofuse

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence. All information provided on this publication cover sheet, including copyright details (e.g. indication of a Creative Commons license), was automatically generated and must be carefully reviewed by users prior to reuse. The license information is derived from publication metadata and may contain errors or inaccuracies.



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

ISSN: 2146-4553

available at http://www.econjournals.com

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 2021, 11(1), 249-254.



An Empirical Investigation of the Associations of Short and Long Debt Policies and Economic Values of Energy Sector

Omer Bagais, Khaled Aljaaidi*, Abdulaziz Alothman

Department of Accounting, College of Business Administration, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia. *Emails: k.aljaaidi@psau.edu.sa

Received: 21 July 2020

Accepted: 27 October 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.10498

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to empirically investigate the impact of short and long-term debt policies on economic values of energy sector in Saudi Arabia. Pooled OLS Regression model is utilized to analyze 8 years of energy companies' data (2012-2019) to report the association between two measures of capital structure, namely; short-term and long-term debt policies, and firm economic value after controlling for firm size and inventory management. Empirical findings suggest that the two measures of capital structure impact negatively on firm economic value in energy industry in Saudi Arabia. The results of this study have several policy implications for financial managers, banks, investors, auditors, and stock market authority to understand why capital structure impacts the firm sustainability in a negative way.

Keywords: Capital Structure, Firm Sustainability, Energy Industry, Saudi Arabia JEL Classifications: L25, H63, 86, G51

1. INTRODUCTION

Capital structure is the combination of debt and equity that a company uses to fund its operations. It is generally accepted that a company's value increases as its capital costs are reduced. This makes identification of optimal capital structures one of the chief aims of contemporary management strategy (Rakhimzhanova et al., 2020; Tatibekova and Bubeyev, 2020; Zahariev et al., 2020; Laila et al., 2019; Mokhova et al., 2018; Tailab, 2014). The combination of debt and equity for the purpose of reducing the cost of capital and increase the firm's profitability is called the capital structure. The most important issue element that the firm's management considers in making different decisions (Savitri et al., 2020; Kulustayeva et `al., 2020; Wójcik-Augustyniak, 2020; Wysokińśka-Senkus, 2020; Nakruang et al., 2020; Tamulevičienė and Androniceanu, 2020).

Companies assume debts in order to acquire adequate funding for substantial projects, assuming that such projects will represent sound investments. If the projects yield the anticipated returns, the company will enjoy substantial profit, enabling it to repay its debts and use the remaining balance of funds for reinvestment. If projects do not achieve their expected returns, this can have a negative impact on company performance for a considerable time (Stiglitz and Weiss, 1981; Mackevičius et al., 2018). Despite several decades of research, there is no generally accepted conclusion about the relationship between capital structure and firm performance. From a theoretical perspective, according to Weill (2008), the relationship between debt and a firm's performance has been studied using capital structure theories, from Modigliani and Miller (1958) to the agency cost theory (Jensen and Meckling, 1976; Myers, 1977), the trade-off theory (Scott, 1977) and the pecking order theory (Myers and Majluf, 1984). Thus, there is no single theory that can fully interpret the effect of capital structure on firm performance (Le and Phan, 2017).

In specific, the capital structure of the firm is explained by two dominant theories, namely; the trade-off theory (Myers, 1984;

This Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Kraus and Litzenberger, 1973) and pecking order theory (Myers and Majluf, 1984; Ross, 1977). The trade-off theory predicts a positive association between capital structure and firm performance. In support with this, several empirical studies found a positive relationship between capital structure and firm performance (e.g., Dalci, 2018; Berger and di Patti, 2006; Abor, 2005; Ghosh et al., 2000; Hadlock and James, 2002). The pecking order theory expects that the association between capital structure and firm performance is negative. With support to this, several empirical studies reported a negative association between capital structure and firm performance (e.g., Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite, 2020; Assenga et al., 2018; Mishra and Kapil, 2017; Yasser et al., 2017; Plalniappan, 2017; Vithessonthi and Tongurai, 2015; Sheikh and Wang, 2012; Kumar and Singh, 2013; Cai and Zhang, 2011; Ebaid, 2009; Cheng, 2009; Antoniou et al., 2008; Jermias, 2008; Tian and Zeitun, 2007; Joshua, 2007; Haniffa and Hudaib, 2006; Weir et al., 2002; Fama and French, 2002; Booth et al., 2001; Gleason et al., 2000). Past research into the correlation between company performance and capital structure has been inconsistent and contradictory; significant focus has been placed upon the context of developed countries. Thus far, little attention has been paid to the correlations between company performance and capital structure in developing nations, Saudi Arabia amongst them. Saudi Arabia represents the most substantial Middle Eastern economy, being the richest Arab nation in its region and one of the richest in the world due to its sound financial and banking sector, substantial foreign direct investment, and considerable state-funded public investment. Little research has been undertaken regarding the correlation between capital structure and company sustainability in this context.

The researchers are unaware of any empirical study into the correlation between company sustainability and capital structure in Saudi Arabia. Thus, the chief aim of this research is to undertake an assessment of the influence Saudi Arabian energy companies have on company sustainability in terms of three central elements of capital structure: total-debt ratio, long-term debt ratio, and shortterm debt ratio. The energy industry was selected for this research as it has a great deal of influence on the Saudi Arabian economy, being a significant employer and supplier of capital. The energy sector in Saudi Arabia is essential in addressing social inequality and alleviating economic hardship. The country's development goals make it compulsory for energy sector development to take place in a way that is beneficial to society as a whole. It is intended that this will help to ease the poverty gap that is present in developing nations (Ruti and de Felice, 2103; Yergin and Gross, 2012). The findings of this research will be of assistance to policymakers both at firm and national level. More broadly, it will prove useful for any companies or individuals interested in emergent Middle Eastern markets due to the similarities in economic structure and institutional arrangements in many of the region's countries (La Porta et al., 1999). It is anticipated that this research will throw up fresh questions relating to company sustainability and capital structure.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following way: Section 2 discusses literature review and hypothesis development; Section 3 discusses the data collection and research design; Section 4 describes the results and discussions and Section 5 concludes with summary of findings.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Management often has concerns regarding the influence that debt has in relation to company value (Grossman and Hart, 1982). Management's financial decisions are central to the determination of the best capital structure for a company. Company management must establish a capital structure in ways that maximize company value, an essential decision. Nevertheless, capital structures differ between firms and managers must accept the best fit for attaining the ideal capital structure (Salim and Yadav, 2012). Companies use debt to finance capital projects on the assumption that they will enjoy success. If projects are successful, companies achieve a good return on their investment, enabling them to pay their debts and to use the remaining balance for other investment projects. If projects are unsuccessful, this can have an adverse impact on company performance for a substantial length of time (Stiglitz and Weiss, 1981). This happens if management is unable to effectively control company activities. If debt levels are too high, a firm's marketplace reputation may suffer and new business levels may decline. It has been recognized (Berezinets et al., 2017) that a high company debt level may indicate substantial investment in new products or projects; companies will generally require debt financing to fund new initiatives (Black et al., 2006).

The extant research on the association of capital structure with firm performance provided mixed and contradictory results. Several studies reported a positive relationship between capital structure and firm performance (Dalci, 2018; Berger and di Patti, 2006; Abor, 2005; Hadlock and James, 2002; Ghosh et al., 2000; Champion, 1999; Roden and Lewellen, 1995; Ross, 1977). Conversely, some studies reported a negative association between capital structure and firm performance (Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite, 2020; Assenga et al., 2018; Mishra and Kapil, 2017; Yasser et al., 2017; Plalniappan, 2017; Vithessonthi and Tongurai, 2015; Kumar and Singh, 2013; Sheikh and Wang, 2012; Cai and Zhang, 2011; Cheng, 2009; Ebaid, 2009; Jermias, 2008; Antoniou et al., 2008; Tian and Zeitun, 2007; Joshua, 2007; Haniffa and Hudaib, 2006; Weir et al., 2002; Fama and French, 2002; Booth et al., 2001; Gleason et al., 2000; Milton and Raviv, 1991; Short and Keasey, 1999; Majumdar and Chhibber, 1999; Wald, 1999; Kinsman and Newman, 1998; McConnell and Servaes, 1995; Rajan and Zingales, 1995; Friend and Lang, 1988; Kester, 1986; Titman and Wessels, 1988). While, Surprisingly, there are some academic researches that found a non-linear relationship between capital structure and firm performance (Connelly et al., 2012; Margaritis and Psillaki, 2010; Lin and Chang, 2009; Stulz, 1990). It is notable from the direction of the previous research conducted that the studies reported a negative relationship outweigh the studies that found either a positive sign or non-liner relationship. In line with this, Le and Phan (2017) indicated the studies examined the association of capital structure with firm performance in emerging markets found a negative relationship unlike those conducted in developed countries that reported a positive association. They indicated that, in emerging or transition economies, firm management underestimates bankruptcy costs of liquidation which may lead companies to have more debt than they should. Consequently, the firm sustainability of these companies will be negatively affected. Further, there is a weak role of debt as a monitoring mechanism to enhance firm sustainability. Therefore, managers may undertake discretionary behavior because of the large cash flow available from debt which, in turn, affects firm sustainability negatively. This discussion leads us to hypothesize a negative impact of the capital structure on firm sustainability in Saudi Arabia as an emerging market. The hypothesis is stated in a direct form as follows:

H1: There is a negative relationship between capital structure and firm sustainability in Saudi energy firms.

3. DATA COLLECTION AND RESEARCH DESIGN

3.1. Sample Selection and Data Collection

The sample of this study consists of energy listed companies on Saudi Stock Exchange (Tadawul) for the years ranging from 2012 to 2019. We conduct a cross-sectional review of financial reports of the sample companies as depicted in Table 1.

3.2. Regression Model and Definition of Variables

Ordinary-least square (OLS) regression is used to estimate the associations of capital structure and with firm sustainability of energy listed companies in Saudi Arabia for the period ranging from 2012 to 2019. The utilizing of the OLS regression is because the dependent variable in this study is a continuous measure. The functional equation of the OLS model is as follows:

 $FS = \beta 0 + \beta 1 STD + \beta 2 LTD + Control variables + e$ (1)

Where the dependent variable is:

• Dependent variable

FS = Return on assets

Where the independent variables are:

• Key explanatory variables

STD = Total short-term liabilities divided by total assets

LTD = Total long-term liabilities divided by total assets.

Table 1: Sample Selection from 2012 to 2019				
Sample	Totals			
Total listed energy companies	5 firms			
Number of years observed	8 years			
Total observation	40			
Missing data	8			
Final sample	32			

• Control variables FSIZE = log10 of total assets IM = sales/inventory e = error term.

We also control for the effect of two agency-related variables found by the related literature for their potential confounding effect on the FS. It is expected that FS to be positively associated with firm size and inventory management (Pfeffer and Salancik, 1978; Haniffa and Hudaib, 2006; Aljifri and Moustafa, 2007; Stiglitz and Weiss, 1981; Bhatt and Bhattacharya, 2017; Mishra and Kapil, 2017; Saleh et al., 2007; Jonsson and Mattsson, 2008; Capkun et al., 2009; Kesavan and Gaur, 2010; Pong and Mitchell, 2012; Sahari et al., 2012; Ahmad and Zabri,2018; Huang et al., 2018).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Summary Statistics

Table 2 predicts the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum of each variable in the sample data set.

Table 2; Panel A shows that the mean of the short-term liabilities STD is 0.219, and the range is between 0.01 and .55 with a standard deviation of .173. Further, the average of the long-term liabilities LTD is 0.267 and it ranges from 0.00 to 0.68 with a standard deviation 0.226. The mean of the firm size FSIZE is S.R 18,872,179,084 and it ranges from S.R 309,984,124 to S.R 74,029,648,000 with a standard deviation of S.R 23,262,872,572. The mean of inventory management IM is 38.479 and it ranges from 10.01 to 88.63 with a standard deviation of 32.058. Further, Table 2; panel B illustrates that the mean of firm sustainability *FS* is 0.0417 and it ranges from 0.000 to 0.11 with a standard deviation of 0.0336.

4.2. Regression Results and Discussions

Ordinary-least square (OLS) was used to evaluate the level of association of capital structure and with firm sustainability. As shown by Table 3, the R^2 is .848, indicating that the Model has explained 84.8% of the total variance in the firm sustainability.

Tables 4 depicts that the F-value for the model is statistically significant at the 1% level which means that the overall model can be interpreted.

Table 5 illustrates the Pooled OLS regression results. Table 5 shows that there is a significantly negative association between STD and FS ($\beta = -0.797$, t = -4.156, P = 0.001, one-tailed

Table 2: Descriptive statistics

Panel A: Independent variables							
Continuous variables	Mean	Std.Deviation	Minimum	Maximum			
STD	0.219	0.173	0.01	0.55			
LTD 0.267 FSIZE 18,872,179,084		0.226	0.00	0.68			
		23,262,872,572	309,984,124	74,029,648,000			
IM	38.479	32.058	10.01	88.63			
Panel B: Dependent variable							
FS	0.0417	0.0336	0.000	0.11			

Table 3	Model	summary
---------	-------	---------

Model	R	R square	Adjusted R square	Std. Error of the estimate
1	0.921	0.848	0.816	0.423

Table 4: ANOVA analysis

1	Model	Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig.
	Regression	19.016	4	4.754	26.520	0.000
	Residual	3.406	19	0.179		
	Total	22.422	23			

Table 5: Pooled OLS regression

Variables	Expected sign	Coeff.	t	P-value	Tolerance	VIF
Constant		0.691	5.251	0.000		
Test variable						
STD	-	-0.797	-4.156	0.001	0.218	4.597
LTD	-	-0.714	-2.927	0.009	0.134	7.453
Control variables						
FSIZE		0.115	0.435	0.668	0.114	8.799
IM		0.732	3.188	0.005	0.152	6.585

significance). As shown by Table 5 that there is a significantly negative association between LTD and FS ($\beta = -0.714$, t = -2.927, P = 0.009, one-tailed significance). This result is consistent with prediction of the pecking order theory and the supported empirical studies (Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite, 2020; Assenga et al., 2018; Mishra and Kapil, 2017; Yasser et al., 2017; Plalniappan, 2017; Vithessonthi and Tongurai, 2015; Kumar and Singh, 2012; Sheikh and Wang, 2013; Cai and Zhang, 2011; Cheng, 2009; Ebaid, 2009; Jermias, 2008; Antoniou et al., 2008; Tian and Zeitun, 2007; Joshua, 2007; Haniffa and Hudaib, 2006; Weir et al., 2002; Fama and French, 2002; Booth et al., 2001; Gleason et al., 2000; Milton and Raviv, 1991; Short and Keasey, 1999; Majumdar and Chhibber, 1999; Wald, 1999; Kinsman and Newman, 1998; McConnell and Servaes, 1995; Rajan and Zingales, 1995; Friend and Lang, 1988; Kester, 1986; Titman and Wessels, 1988).

The negative association reported between capital structure and firm sustainability indicates that there is a material effect of capital structure on firm sustainability. In addition, this result reveals that agency issues impose into the manager backs to borrow money more than they should do which, consequently, gives lenders a right to influence the companies' decisions. As a result, the managers are restricted to effectively manage the companies' operations.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

There is a substantial amount of research examined the association of capital structure with firm sustainability since the seminal work of Modigliani and Miller (1958) in either developed or developing countries. The majority of this work reported a positive association between capital structure and firm sustainability in developed countries. On the other hand, this association is reported as having a negative sign in the emerging or transition economies. The Saudi setting is not an exceptional as an emerging market. We investigated the association of capital structure measures, namely; short-term liabilities and long-term liabilities, with firm sustainability among energy companies in Saudi Arabia for the period 2012-2019. The selected sample for this research comprises 32 firm-year observations. The results of the Pooled OLS Regression indicate that both measures of capital structure are associated negatively with firm sustainability. The negative association reported between capital structure and firm sustainability indicates that there is a material effect of capital structure on firm sustainability. In addition, this result reveals that agency issues impose into the manager backs to borrow money more than they should do which, consequently, gives lenders a right to influence the companies' decisions. As a result, the managers are restricted to effectively manage the companies' operations.

This study has several policy implications for financial managers. This research makes it clear that energy companies' financial managers should have an awareness about the influence that debts can have a negative impact on their profits. Furthermore, these companies should enhance their internal control systems as more effective internal control leads to greater profit. In addition, the results of this study can have policy implications for lenders and investors. The lenders should adhere to debt covenants taking into their consideration that their increased intervention in the management's decisions may cause a negative impact on the company's performance. This, in the future, will influence negatively the lenders' businesses as well. As for the investors, they should carefully analyze the company's capital structure before involving into investment decisions with such business. This will assist them in gaining a good future financial returns. This research can offer financial analysts, auditors, account/ audit regulators, stock markets, researchers, and academics fresh understanding of the correlations of capital structure and firm sustainability. This study provides a groundwork for future research to examine the association of capital structure with firm sustainability. A number of factors influencing capital structure could be researched in future, e.g. corporate governance (ownership structures, quality of audits, audit committee, and board of directors). This research model could be reproduced for other GCC nations and in other Middle Eastern (Arab) markets to check for validity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This publication was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.

REFERENCES

- Abor, J. (2005), The effect of capital structure on profitability: An empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana. The Journal of Risk Finance, 6(5), 438-445.
- Aljifri, K., Moustafa, M. (2007), The impact of corporate governance mechanisms on the performance of UAE firms: An empirical analysis. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences, 23(2), 71-93.
- Antoniou, A., Guney, Y., Paudyal, K. (2008), The determinants of capital structure: Capital market-oriented versus bank-oriented institutions. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 43, 59-92
- Assenga, M.P., Aly, D., Hussainey, K. (2018), The impact of board characteristics on the financial performance of Tanzanian firms. Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 18(6), 1089-1106.
- Berezinets, I., Ilina, Y., Cherkasskaya, A. (2017), Board structure, board committees and corporate performance in Russia. Managerial Finance, 43(10), 1073-1092.
- Berger, A.N., di Patti, E.B. (2006), Capital structure and firm performance: A new approach to testing agency theory and an application to the banking industry. Journal of Banking and Finance, 30(4), 1065-1102
- Bhatt, R.R., Bhattacharya, S. (2017), Family firms, board structure and firm performance: Evidence from top Indian firms. International Journal of Law and Management, 59(5), 699-717.
- Black, B.S., Jang, H., Kim, W. (2006), Does corporate governance predict firms' market values? Evidence from Korea. The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 22(2), 366-413.
- Booth, L., Aivazian, V., Hunt, A., Maksimovic, D. (2001), Capital structure in developing countries. Journal of Finance, 56, 87-130.
- Cai, J., Zhang, Z. (2011), Leverage change, debt overhang, and stock prices. Journal of Corporate Finance, 17(3), 391-402]
- Capkun, V., Hameri, A.P., Weiss, L.A. (2009), On the relationship between inventory and financial performance in manufacturing companies. International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 29, 789-806.
- Champion, D. (1999), Finance: The joy of leverage. Harvard Business Review, 77, 19-22.
- Cheng, M.T. (2009), Relative effects of debt and equity on corporate operating performance: A quantile regression study. International Journal of Management, 26(1), 142-150.
- Connelly, J.T., Limpaphayom, P., Nagarajan, N.J. (2012), Form versus substance: The effect of ownership structure and corporate governance on firm value in Thailand. Journal of Banking and Finance, 36(6), 1722-1743.
- Dalci, I. (2018), Impact of financial leverage on profitability of listed manufacturing firms in China. Pacific Accounting Review, 30(4), 410-432.
- Ebaid, I.E.S. (2009), The impact of capital-structure choice on firm performance: Empirical evidence from Egypt. The Journal of Risk

Finance, 10(5), 477-487.

- Fama, E., French, K. (2002), Testing tradeoff and pecking order predictions about dividends and debt. Review of Financial Studies, 15, 1-33.
- Fernández-Temprano, M.A., Tejerina-Gaite, F. (2020), Types of Director, Board Diversity and Firm Performance, Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society.
- Friend, I., Lang, L. (1988), An empirical test of the impact of managerial self-interest on corporate capital structure. Journal of Finance, 43, 271-281.
- Ghosh, C., Nag, R., Sirmans, C. (2000), The pricing of seasoned equity offerings: Evidence from REITs. Real Estate Economics, 28, 363-384.
- Gleason, K.C., Mathur, L.K., Mathur, I. (2000), The interrelationship between culture, capital structure, and performance: Evidence from European retailers. Journal of Business Research, 50(2), 185-191.
- Grossman, S.J., Hart, O.D. (1982), Corporate financial structure and managerial incentives. In: The Economics of Information and Uncertainty. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. p107-140.
- Hadlock, C., James, C. (2002), Do banks provide financial slack? Journal of Finance, 57, 1383-1420.
- Haniffa, R., Hudaib, M. (2006), Corporate governance structure and performance of Malaysian listed companies. Journal of Business Finance and Accounting, 33(7-8), 1034-1062.
- Huang, Y., Lin, C., Liu, S., Tang, H. (2018), Trade Linkages and Firm Value: Evidence from the 2018 US-CHINA Trade War (No. 11-2018). Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies Working Paper.
- Jensen, M., Meckling, W. (1976), Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and capital structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.
- Jermias, J. (2008), The relative influence of competitive intensity and business strategy on the relationship between financial leverage and performance. The British Accounting Review, 40(1), 71-86.
- Jonsson, P., Mattsson, S.A. (2008), Inventory management practices and their implications on perceived planning performance. International Journal of Production Research, 46(7), 1787-1812.
- Kester, W. (1986), Capital and ownership structure: A comparison of United States and Japanese manufacturing corporations. Financial Management, 15, 5-16.
- Kesavan, S., Gaur, V., Raman, A. (2010), Do inventory and gross margin data improve sales forecasts for US public retailers? Management Science, 56(9), 1519-1533.
- Kinsman, M.D., Newman, J.A. (1998), Debt Associated with Lower Firm Performance Finding Calls for Review of Rise in Debt Use, Graziadio Business Report No. 4.
- Kraus, A., Litzenberger, R.H. (1973), A state-preference model of optimal financial leverage. The Journal of Finance, 28(4), 911-922]
- Kulustayeva, A., Jondelbayeva, A., Nurmagambetova, A., Dossayeva, A., Bikteubayeva, A. (2020), Financial data reporting analysis of the factors influencing on profitability for insurance companies. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 2394-2406.
- Kumar, N., Singh, J.P. (2013), Effect of board size and promoter ownership on firm value: Some empirical findings from India. Corporate Governance, 13, 88-98.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R. (1999), Corporate ownership around the world. Journal of Finance, 54(2), 471-517.
- Laila, N., Saraswati, K.A., Kholidah, H. (2019), Efficient portfolio composition of Indonesian Islamic bank financing. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(1), 34-43.
- Le, T.P.V., Phan, T.B.N. (2017), Capital structure and firm performance: Empirical evidence from a small transition country. Research in

International Business and Finance, 42, 710-726.

- Lin, F.L., Chang, T. (2011), Does debt affect firm value in Taiwan? A panel threshold regression analysis. Applied Economics, 43(1), 117-128.
- Mackevičius, J., Šneidere, R., Tamulevičienė, D. (2018), The waves of enterprises bankruptcy and the factors that determine them: The case of Latvia and Lithuania. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 6(1), 100-114.
- Majumdar, S.K., Chhibber, P. (1999), Capital structure and performance: Evidence from a transition economy on an aspect of corporate governance. Public Choice, 98(3-4), 287-305.
- Margaritis, D., Psillaki, M. (2010), Capital structure, equity ownership and firm performance. Journal of Banking and Finance, 34(3), 621-632.
- McConnell, J.J., Servaes, H. (1990), Additional evidence on equity ownership and corporate value. Journal of Financial Economics, 27(2), 595-612.
- Milton, H., Raviv, A. (1991), The theory of capital structure. Journal of Finance, 46(1), 297-355.
- Mishra, R., Kapil, S. (2017), Effect of ownership structure and board structure on firm value: Evidence from India. Corporate Governance, 17(4), 700-726.
- Modigliani, F., Miller, M.H. (1958), The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. The American Economic Review, 48(3), 261-297.
- Mokhova, N., Zinecker, M., Meluzín, T. (2018), Internal factors influencing the cost of equity capital. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 5(4), 929-942.
- Myers, S.C. (1984), Capital structure puzzle. The Journal of Finance, 39(3), 575-592.
- Myers, S.C., Majluf, N.S. (1984), Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions when Firms have Information that Investors do not Have, National Bureau of Economic Research No. W1396.
- Myers, S.C. (1977), Determinants of corporate borrowing. Journal of Financial Economics, 5(2), 147-175.
- Nakruang, D., Donkwa, K., Suvittawat, A. (2020), The causal factors influencing corporate sustainability performance: Case of community SMEs in three southern border provinces, Thailand. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 1459-1471.
- Pfeffer, J.S., Salancik, G. (1978), The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective. New York: Academy of Management.
- Pong, C.K., Mitchell, F. (2012), Inventory investment and control: How have UK companies been doing? The British Accounting Review, 44(3), 173-188.
- Rajan, R., Zingales, L. (1995), What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. Journal of Finance, 50, 1421-1460.
- Rakhimzhanova, K., Makysh, S., Saparova, B., Iskakov, B. (2020), Problem loan management in the countries of the Eurasian Economic Union. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(4), 3286-3308.
- Roden, D., Lewellen, W. (1995), Corporate capital structure decisions: Evidence from leveraged buyouts. Financial Management, 24, 76-87.
- Ross, S.A. (1977), The determination of financial structure: The incentivesignalling approach. The Bell Journal of Economics, 8, 23-40.
- Ruti, P.M., de Felice, M. (2013), Climate and energy production-a climate services perspective. In: Climate Vulnerability: Understanding and Addressing Threats to Essential Resources. Amsterdam: Elsevier Inc.
- Sahari, S., Tinggi, M., Kadri, N. (2012), Inventory management in Malaysian construction firms: Impact on performance. SIU Journal of Management, 2(1), 59-72.
- Salim, M., Yadav, R. (2012), Capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Malaysian listed companies. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 65, 156-166.

- Saleh, N.M., Iskandar, T.M., Rahmat, M.M. (2007), Audit committee characteristics and earnings management: Evidence from Malaysia. Asian Review of Accounting, 15(2), 147-163.
- Savitri, E., Andreas, A., Syahza, A., Gumanti, T.A., Abdullah, N.H. (2020), Corporate governance mechanism and financial performance: Role of earnings management. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(4), 3395-3409.
- Scott, J.H. (1977), Bankruptcy, secured debt, and optimal capital structure. The Journal of Finance, 32(1), 1-19.
- Sheikh, A.N., Wang, Z. (2012), Effects of corporate governance on capital structure: Empirical evidence from Pakistan. Corporate Governance, 12(5), 629-641.
- Short, H., Keasey, K. (1999), Managerial ownership and the performance of firms: Evidence from the UK. Journal of Corporate Finance, 5(1), 79-101.
- Stiglitz, J.E., Weiss, A. (1981), Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. The American ECONOMIC review, 71(3), 393-410.
- Stulz, R. (1990), Managerial discretion and optimal financing policies. Journal of Financial Economics, 26(1), 3-27.
- Tailab, M. (2014), The effect of capital structure on profitability of energy American firms. International Journal of Business and Management Invention, 3(12), 54-61.
- Tamulevičienė, D., Androniceanu, A. (2020), Selection of the indicators to measure an enterprise's value and its changes in the controlling system for medium-sized enterprises. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 1440-1458.
- Tatibekova, A., Bubeyev, M. (2020), How regulation of bank capital adequacy and liquidity affects pricing of bonds of the banks. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 1708-1722.
- Taub, A. (1975), Determinants of firm's capital structure. Review of Economics and Statistics, 57, 410-416.
- Tian, G.G., Zeitun, R. (2007), Capital structure and corporate performance: Evidence from Jordan. Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal, 1(4), 16-37.
- Titman, S., Wessels, R. (1988), The determinants of capital structure. Journal of Finance, 43, 1-19.
- Vithessonthi, C., Tongurai, J. (2015), The effect of firm size on the leverage-performance relationship during the financial crisis of 2007-2009. Journal of Multinational Financial Management, 29, 1-29.
- Wald, J. (1999), How firm characteristics affect capital structure: An international comparison. Journal of Financial Research, 22, 161-187.
- Weir, C., Laing, D., McKnight, P.J. (2002), Internal and external governance mechanisms: Their impact on the performance of large UK public companies. Journal of Business Finance and Accounting, 29(5-6), 579-611.
- Wójcik-Augustyniak, M. (2020), How to measure and compare the value of organizations. The case study of HEIs. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 2144-2169.
- Wysokińśka-Senkus, A. (2020), The model of an organization performance measurement in the context of sustainable system management. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 1819-1831.
- Yasser, Q.R., Mamun, A.A., Rodrigs, M. (2017), Impact of board structure on firm performance: Evidence from an emerging economy. Journal of Asia Business Studies, 11(2), 210-228.
- Yergin, D., Gross, S. (2012), Energy for Economic Growth: Energy Vision Update 2012; Industry Agenda. Cologny: World Economic Forum.
- Yusoff, H., Ahmad, K., Qing, O.Y., Zabri, S.M. (2018), The Relationship between working capital management and firm performance. Advanced Science Letters, 24(5), 3244-3248.
- Zahariev, A., Prodanov, S., Radulova, A., Zaharieva, G., Pavlova, M., Angelov, P., Marinova, K. (2020), The Bank Insolvency: From Lehman Brothers to COVID-19 (International Remarks and National Peculiarities).